1888-89.
(K.R.).

File No. 63/1888-89.
Serial No. 1-35.

Sub:- Appeal of Kadirappa Nake Shanbhogue of Bethamangala Boriyappet Taluk praying for the restoration of the Shanbhogueship of the said village.

220 K-G-E

1892

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Ld. 40 of 1888

1888-89.

~~192~~ .

(L. R.)

File No. 63/88

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 35

Major ~~Part~~ B for September 1893

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Kadirappa alias Ramlingappa of Betkunda Bowringpet Taluk praying for the restoration of the Ramlingappa Pick of the said village

---

To The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

No. 4944/Ret 462 dated 18.9.93.

Sl. 36 Returns the records connected with the case

Released

WD 2288—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

1-129

Memorandum

Q.T. 1792

Reference:- 1 One Kolan Ramiah,
Kolundy: Cardo on the 10th July 1888,
Inv: 2663 of 10-3-89 petitioned the Secretary
788 to the following effect:-

"Kadarappa is the Adhikaryar of Betmangala in the Bowringpet taluk, and his brother Ramiah is the Patél of the same village. Both these individuals cut down and removed about 430 trees, which stood on the sides of the irrigating channel under the Betmangala Amani tank, and in other (Batoyi) Govt. waste lands. In the year 1885 the Police charged

there for the above offence, and sent them up for trial before the 1st Class Magistr. of Bolan, who discharged them, on the ground that it did not appear from the Lieutenant Free Account (Shâdi Patrik), that the trees in question belonged to Govt. It has been ruled by the Chief Court that such cases should be tried, as dischg under the Indian Penal Code. But the above case has neither been so tried on the Criminal side, nor the value of the above trees, viz Rs: 500, recovered

from the 3 delinquents on the Revenue side.

The said Kadarappa was suspended and fined Rs: 112½ by the late Dy: Com.r for having embezzled Govt. money. But the Taluk Authorities being favourably disposed towards him, have not carried out the order at all. It is requested that the present Dy: Com.r may be instructed to see that the order is duly carried out."

2 This petition was sent to the Dy: Com.r on the 18th July 188, for enquiry.

3 The deputy Com.r in his letter No: 2663 of the 10th instant, 938 reports that the charge of cutting & removing the trees, was duly enquired into and disposed of on the Criminal side by the 1st Class Magistrate, in Case No: 1157 of 1885, the accused persons being discharged, the charge

charge 4 not having
been proved
against them,
as per Records
submitted; and
that in the matter
of the suspension
and fine inflicted
on Kadarappa, as
mentioned
by the Petitioner,
the Amildar states
that a portion of
the fine which
remained unrealized, has
since been
recovered, and
in the year 1886
the late Dy: Com[r];
Mr. Anderson, appointed
one Appiah, as
Kadarappa's Gomasta
and directed that
Kadarappa should
not be allowed to
interfere with the
duties connected
with the Office.

In the above
letter the Deputy Com[r].
brings

2 5

Brings to notice that the Commandant appointed by the late Dy: Com.r is only nominal, and Kadaya is still the effective Chanloque; that all chance of reconciliation between him and the Kyato has gone, and he was lately dishonorably assaulted by a large body of the people, and that he (Dy: Com.r) can therefore expect no improvement until Kadaya is finally removed from the Office, and another qualified member of the family appointed to the Office.

4 The Judgment of Mr. Raghunatha Row, the then 1st Class Magistrate of Kolar, dated the 19 October 1885, shows that the Magistrate inspected the several Ryots

spots on which
the said trees
stood, and after
satisfying himself
that the trees were
not situated in
Govt. waste lands,
but that they were
all within the
limits of occupied
lands, discharged
Kadarappa and
other accused
persons, in the
criminal case
referred to by the
Petitioner; so that
this matter does
not remain
undecided, as
alleged by the
Petitioner.

5 There were
altogether 27 counts
of embezzlement

preferred by against
Kadarappa. They were
all investigated by
the Gumal asst. Com.r
who submitted to the
Dy: Com.r on the 18th
June 1886, a Revenue
Calendar showing the
results of his enquiry.
None of the charges
were established
against the accused
so as to warrant
criminal trial.
In the Courts Rs: 34
13 it was found
that the Shanbogue
had not credited
in his Khirdi the
collections of Govt.
Revenue on the
same dates on
which he had given
Receipts. In Court
Rs: 18 it was found
that Rs: 55-6-8 out
of the collections,
had not been remitted
to the Treasury, but
the item could not
be brought home
either to the Shanbogue
Kadarappa

8

Kadarappa or
Shaikdar Punjendah.
Dis 87: Govt: decided
that it was owing
to the irregularities
on the part of the
Chaukiapie and
Shaikdar that the
Said Rupees
87 Rs: 55-8-8 did
not reach the
Treasury; &
that it should,
therefore, be recovered
from both of them,
together with interest
of Rs: 7-12-4; and
that they should
be fined Rs: 5
each. The whole of the
amount to have been collected.
6 Lii 1st Oct Rev:
885 of the 13th March
1886 Mr: Sanderson
has directed the Amildar
that Appiah should
do the duty of Chaukiapie,
and Rudrajah that of
Patél. and that Kadarappa
should not interfere
with the duties of
Chaukiapie.

× on the 11th January 87.

Lawrence
14/3/89

9.

7 In reply to his letter
no 266/738 dated 10th March
1889 the Dy Comr was
informed that he might
make any arrange-
ment necessary for
the proper discharge
of the Shembhoge duties
of Betamangala

Proc. Camp no 2144 dy
21-3-89

8 The Dy Comr direct-
ed the Amildar to
submit a statement
showing the members
of Kadurappa's family
and at the same time
to state who among
them was fit for
the Shembhogship.

No. 7 dy 6-4-89

9 On the 15th April
1889 Subbaiya deposed
before the Amildar
that he was the un-
divided

undivided brother of Kadarappa, that he could not consent to the appointment of his divided brother Ramanja as Shanbhog as he separated himself from the family by a partition deed and held the Patelship of Betamangala Amani Tank, that Kadarappa adopted his son one year old, that he (Subbanja) was ready to accept the Shanbhogship of Betamangala.

10 On the 19th April 1889 Venkatappa and certain other ryots of

of Betamangala sub
mitted an Arji to the
Dy Commr complaining
that they were no longer
able to bear the op-
pression of Shanbhog
Kadarappa, and pray
ing that the Govt
would not give the
Shanbhogship either
to Kadarappa or to
any of his brothers
who have also prov
ed very obnoxious
to the ryots.

11 On the 23rd April
1889 Kadarappa pro
duced a genealogic-
al tree of his family
and deposed before
the Amildar that

the Govt was at liberty to select any of the persons referred to in the geneological tree, that he was not guilty of any crime as may be seen from the several papers produced by him, and pray ed for a review of his case.

Geneological tree

Kadarappas father Venkataramanaiya

Papamma dead	Kadarappa. No issue. Has adopted his undivided brother Subbaiya's son one year old.	Ramaiya has separated himself from the family by a partition deed dt 4-2-87 No issue.	Subbaiya — Son Venkata ramanaiya 1 year old, adopted by Kadarappa

12 On the 25th April 1889 the Amildar submitted a report to the Dy Commr in the terms

No 92

of the depositions above
referred to, adding that
Kadarappa said that
the Shanbhogship may
be registered in the name
of his adopted son,
and that his brother
Subbaiya be appointed
Gumasta. The Amildar
stated also that there
was none in the family
besides Subbaiya com-
petent to hold the Shan
bhogship.

No 116 dt 2-5-89

13 In reply the Dy Comr
stated that the Shanbhog
ship may be registered
in the name of Venkatara-
mansiya the adopted son
of Kadarappa as desired
by him but objected to
the appointment of in
Subbaiya as Gumasta

because his (divided)
brother Ramanja was
Patel of the Belamangala
Amani Tank which
forms a part of the
Shanbhogi Charge; and
stated further that in
case of Ramanja (reter
ring from the Patelship)
and) appointing a com
petent ryot as his Gu
masta, Subbanja may
be appointed as Gumasta
to the Shanbhog, or if
Ramanja should not
be willing to appoint
a Gumasta to the Patel
ship, a qualified man
of another caste should
be appointed as Gumasta
to the Shanbhog

14 On the 3rd June 1889
Ramanja the divided

brother of Hedurappa deposed before the Amildar that he had properly discharged the duties of Patel for the past 20 years, that there was no reason why he should be removed from the Patelship for the sake of appointing his divided brother Subbaya as Gumasta to the Shanbhogship, that 3 years ago he separated himself from the family by a partition deed, that he was not willing to be a mere Gumasta to the Shanbhog, but that if his name should be registered

as Shanbhog, he would
lee willing to under
take the duties of
Shanbhog
15 On the 5th June 1889
Kadarappa deposed
before the Amildar
that in several of the
villages of the Kolar, Mul
bagal and certain other
Talluks, brothers held
the appointments of
Patel and Shanbhog,
and that as the matter
was one in which all
the 3 brothers should
consult together, he
would bring his two
brothers and the papers
he had kept in his house,
and give his (final)
deposition on the 8th June 1889

17

№ 277

16. On the 14th June 1859 the Amildar reported above facts to the Dy Comr and stated that Kadarappa had not yet appeared before him, although he promised to do so on the 8th idem, and that as Kadarappa's Gumasta Appaiya was ill, the collection of the heavy arrears of revenue would be further delayed if the Shanbhogi affair be not disposed of (at an early date).

16 On the 15th June 1859 Kadarappa submitted an arzi to the Dy Comr stating that he could not appear before the Amildar on the 8th idem

as he was detained in the Taluk Kacheri till the 10th June 1889 for the preparation of certain accounts, that his brother Ramanja Patel of the Betamangala Amani Tank told the Amildar that he was willing to appoint a Gumasta to the Patelship but that the Amildar did not take down his deposition, that when on the 13th June 1889 he (Hadarappa) appeared before the Amildar with his papers and asked him to take down his deposition the Amildar refused to do so, saying that he had already

submitted his report to the Dy Commr; & that as regards Dy Commr's order & o 7x dated 6-4-89, he (Kadarappa) did not depose before the Amildar that the Shanbhogship should be registered in the name of his son while he (Kadarappa) was alive, that the Mahazar executed by people of all castes in the village showed that there was no enmity between him and the villagers except the pensioned Dy Amildar Ahmed Khan, his brothers and their ryots number ing 23, that Kurubar Venkate Gauda was

x Vide para 8 above

the registered Patel of the Village of Betamangala, while his (Kadarappa's) brother Ramanja was the Patel of the Beta mangala Ameni Tank below which the lands of 11 Villages are situated, that Ramanja's work was merely to super- vise the supply of water to the lands below the Tank and had nothing to do with the collection of Revenue as laid down in Govt orders, that in many of the villages of the adjoining Taluk, undivided members of the same family held the offices of Shanbhog and Patel of the same

village as may be seen from ~~that~~ the state-
ment produced by him
him, that his brother
Ramanja separated
himself from the family
11 years ago as may be
seen from the copy of
the partition deed pro-
duced by him, that
the Shanbhogi office
was inherited by him
from his ancestors, while
his brother Ramanja
was appointed by
Govt as Patel of the
Betamangala Amani
Tank in 1875 simply
to attend to the supply of
water, that therefore his
(Kadarappa's) hereditary
Patelki office had nothing
to do with Ramanja's

(self acquired) Patels
office, that he (Kadarappa)
was 45 years old and
had been doing the
Shanbhogi work for 30
years, and that he was
free from all guilt;
and prayed therefore that
the Shanbhogi office
may be restored to
him.

17 The following is
an abstract of the
Mahazar, above referred
to, executed by the
people of the village of
Betamangala on 30th April 1889

(1) That the enmity
between Kadarappa
and persecuted by Amuldar
Ahmed Khan and his
ryots was the result of

a dispute regarding certain land

(2) That during the 32 years that Kadarappa held the Sheanbhogi office he had not incurred the displeasure of the ryots, and had not subjected them to any loss, and had been impartial and (established religious and) charitable (institutions in the village.)

18 On the 8th July 1889 the Dy Comr issued an order* to the Amildar to the effect that the reasons urged by Kadarappa could not be accepted, that the orders he had already issued should be carried out, and that if not, a separate inam enquiry should be selected

*No 256

by Govt, that if the
Shanbhog Gumasta
Appaiya had recovered
from illness and returned
to duty as reported by Arzi dated 17-6-89
him, the work might
be done by him, and
that Kadarappa should
be informed of the above.
order.

19 On the 18th July 1889 Petn Regd No 722
Mr Nanjappa Advocate
for Kadarappa appealed
to the Dewan on the
grounds set forth
above, and prayed
for the reversal of the
Dy Comrs order No 116* Vide para 13 above.
dated 2nd May 1889
and for reinstatement
in the Shanbhogic office.

20. The above Petn was

This office Memorandum No 1732/18-7-89

was forwarded to the Dy Comr for the submission of the proceedings connected with the case

No 1278/207 dt 23-7-89

21 The Dy Comr now submits the proceedings above referred to.

M. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar

to produce his Khindi
and to prove that
a sum of Rs 8. 12 = 6
alleged to have been
paid by Julwar Hamma
was duly credited in
the accounts. In the
fourth case it was
clearly proved, that
a sum of Rs 61/8, being
the amount of contribution
for the repair of a certain
Tank, which was received
in Oct 1875 was not
remitted to the Treasury
till a complaint was
preferred in the matter
in Oct 1887, and that
the appellant was res-
ponsible for the embezzle-
ment and non remittance
of this amount for near
12 years. This last
charge was suspected
aggravated by

28

the dismissal of the
appellant. The Govt
therefor declines to
join with the order
issued by the Ct of Appls,
and direct the dismissal
of this appeal

Memo
for orders.

Robert
9/15

29

Translation of
Kanarese Calendar
of cases connected
with Kadarappa
Shanbhog of Beta-
mangala.

Submitted to the
Councillors.
16th June/90 A.R.
R' 28/6

1	Patel Sidde-Gauda's Petn dy 17-8-85	The Shanbhog takes the Patta Receipt book from the ryots and tampers with them. He did not enter in the Receipt Book the amount remitted in favor of the Petr and that paid by him. The ryots have paid all the assessment due from them. The receipt Books and the Khate & Khird books may be examined.	Sidde Gauda has deposed that the late Shekdar Nanjundaiya frightened him saying that large arrears were due from the ryots, and that if he should not submit a Petn like the one referred to in column 3, his position would be endangered; that therefore he submitted the Petn; and that he could not prove any of the statements therein made.
2	Do 19-8-85	Do.	Do.
3	Deposition given by Sidde Gauda before the Dy Comr 19-8-85	"For the year Varana I paid the undermentioned amounts to Kadarappa. On 1-12-84 - Rs 40 " 7 Do " 15 " 9 Do " 25 Paid to Shekdar in the month of May — " 40 Paid Rs 68 for the year Ivabhanu. I have produced a receipt	He says that he has nothing to say in addition to what he stated before the Dy Comr regarding these amounts; and that he has no reference to shew on what particular dates he paid the sums of Rs 40 and Rs 68 to the Shekdar and Shanbhog respectively

Siddegauda failed to prove any of the points referred to in the Petn 13-8-85

The Asst. Comm's remarks are proper.
22-12-86
(Initialled) C. L.
by Comm.

No fraud appears to have been committed in this case

The Shanbhog says that the sum of Rs 40/- for which a receipt was given on 1-12-84 was not paid on that date but was paid in two instalments on the 9th and 9th idem & credited in the Khirdi book. It was irregular the part of the Shanbhog

for Rs 40/- paid on the 1st Dec/84. The amounts paid on the 7th and 9th idem have been entered in the Khirdi book. The Shekdar was the witness for the payment of the amount in May.

The Shanbhog says that he simply gave a receipt to Lidde-Gauda on trust on the 1st Dec/84, but that he did not actually receive the amount. On the 7th and 9th Rs 15 and Rs 25 were received and credited in the account - which is borne out by the Khirdi Book. The Patel says that he remembers that the amounts were not paid on the dates referred to in the receipt book, and nothing more. The Shanbhog says that × he does not know whether the sum of Rs 40/- was paid to the Shekdar in the months of May. On his demanding Lidde Gauda for the Kandayam, the latter said that he had paid Rs 40/- to the Shekdar. The Shekdar admitted the payment but states that out of Rs 40/- paid by Lidde Gauda Rs 5/- was due to him (Shekdar) and the balance of Rs 35/- should be credited to Lidde Gauda's account which was accordingly done on the 26th February 1885. This is proved by the Khirdi book. Rs 68 were not received

not to have credited
the amounts on the
dates on which the
receipt was given.
The Complainant has
not proved that he has
paid any excess amount.
Therefore the Asst Comr's
~~xxxxxxx~~ opinion is
proper. 22-12-86

(Intd) C. L.
Dy Comr.

			from Lidde Gauda for the year Svabhanu. Rs 40/- were received on on 17-12-83 and Rs 20-8-0 on 21-8-84, and they were credited in the accounts on those dates. In addition to this Lidde Gauda paid Rs 7-8-0 to Kadarappa on account of this Miras.
4	Criminal Complaint from the same 21-8-85	Shanbhog Kadarappa has misappropriated good money and contributions collected from ryots - as may be seen from the Khate and Khirdi books and also the ryots' receipt books from the year Shatu	"I do not know what Khate and Khirdi books are and from whom he has collected contribution. I wrote the Arzi at the instance of the Shekdar. I do not know anything in this matter.
5	Patel Lidde Gauda's Arzi	"While I was examining the ryots' receipt books &c at my house Shanbhog Kadarappa came in company with people armed with weapons and created a tumult.	He says that no tumult took place as stated, and that he wrote the Arzi at the instigation of Shekdar Nanjunda.

This should be disposed of after departmental inquiry.

Dated 18th June 1886
(Signed) N. Chelvienyar.

This item should be cancelled.

22-12-86
(Init) C.S.
Dy Comr.

Nothing more remains to be done.

22-12-86
(Signed) T. C. Subbarao
Dy Comr.

This item has not been proved

This item should be cancelled 22-12-86
(Intd) B. S.
By Comr.

This item has not been proved

The Asst Comr's opinion 13-5-86 is proper. 22-12-86
(Intd) B. S.
By Comr

6	Arzi from the same 13-8-85	The Shanbhog has not given me a Baki Patti that is a statement of arrears due from the ryots, they say that they have paid all the arrears.	There being no Baki Patti, doubts arose as to the amount of arrears. The Shanbhog having since furnished me with a Baki Patti, I have nothing to complain of in this matter. I have prepared and kept at home a list shewing the names of those that said they had paid up the arrears and also the amounts alleged to have been paid by them. They did not produce for the amounts any vouchers said to have been paid by them.
7	Do 3-9-85	The Shanbhog Shekdar &c tamper with the Khate, and Khirdi accounts of Nagasettis halli &c.	" The Shekdar having said so, I wrote to " I cannot prove the matter.
8	Hanahalli Narasappa's Arzi 18-8-85	The misappropriation of Govt money by the Shanbhog will be proved by comparing the	The summons issued has been returned unserved that his place of found. Therefore examined.

This matter cannot be inquired into.

This item should be cancelled
22-12-86
(Initd) C.S.
Dy Cmr,

The Arzidar says that he wrote the arzi on mere suppostion.

Do Do
22-12-86
(Initd) C.S.
Dy Cmr

			In my presence the Shekdar instigated the ryots not to pay the Kandayam and Mirasis; and on my complaint, he was transferred to another hobli. Since his transfer I have been doing my work without any hindrance. It was so at the time of my writing this Arzi. We have now come to terms. I have nothing to complain of in this matter.
27	Shanbhog Kadarappa's Arzi 14-9-85	Patel Lidde Gauda and others instigate the ryots to complain against me.	

Initials
3-6-90

the ryots simply stated that they had paid the arrears but produced no papers in support of their statements. These points must be held to be not proved.

Do Do

22-12-86
(Intd) C. L.
By Comr

Not proved 13-5-86

Do Do

22-12-86
(Intd) C. L.
By Comr

sued to this man has served with the remark residence could not be this man could not be
14-5-86

the complainant having failed to appear as per summons issued, this item should be cancelled
22-12-86
(Intd) C. L.
By Comr

receipts issued to the ryots for the arrears of the year Jarana with the Khirdi.

2 The accounts are not prepared in the form prescribed by the Survey Dept. ~~Lafo the Khata and Khirdi books~~

3 The Pattas of Papanna son of Venkatappa and Challappa may also be examined.

7 Deposition given by the Dane before the Dy Comr 19-8-85

I have produced the Patta given by Kadarappa according to it the following amounts have been collected by Kadarappa from Potarajana halli Papanna for the year Pramadi

8-12-79	—	Rs 26
5-1-80	—	26
7-2-80	—	30
17-3-80		2-4-8
		Rs 84-4-8

while the amount

The Shanbhog has deposed as follows:- "Out of the ~~sum of~~ amount referred in Col 3, the sum of Rs 26/- was credited in the Khirdi on the 5th January 1880; and out of the said sum of Rs 26/- Rs 5/- were credited to Venkatappa, Re 1 to Mohiadin Beg and Rs 20/- to Papanna. But Papanna enjoys all these

5 — 2 — 0 — Nothing is required to be done in this case

22-12-86
(Illegible) D.S.
Dy Comr

No fraud seems to have been committed in this case. — this case should be cancelled

22-12-86
(Illegible) D.S.
Dy Comr

24	Arzi from the ryots 6-9-85	Patel Siddegauda has misappropriated the Kandayam collected from the ryots and the fines collected in the cattle pound	Summons were issued to two of those that signed this Arzi. namely Anche Hanuma and Rachappa Shetti. They seem to have returned to their village. They did not appear before the court.
25	Shambhog Kadarappa's Arzis 16-8-85 23-8-85	Patel Siddegauda takes the receipt books from the ryots and tampers with them.	Patel Siddegauda took the receipt books from the ryots and delayed to return them. Hearing fraud on the part of the Patel I wrote this Arzi. I do not know whether the Patel has actually tampered with the Pattas.
26	do do 6-9-85	Patel Siddegauda and others instigate the ryots not to pay the khists and not give any ——— aid in the collection	In december last the Shekdar Nanjundaiya began the collection in company with the Patel but was not able to collect anything

No discrepancy can be discovered in this. The Asst Commrs remarks are proper

22-12-86

(Initd) C. L.

Dy Comr

due from the individual was only Rs 74-6-6, The accounts should be examined with a view to find out whether the excess collected from Papanna has been remitted to the Treasury

pieces of land. Papanna and Sidde Gauda know this". Patel Sidde Gauda admitted the fact. Papanna could not be examined as he was absent. The Complainant did not put in his appearance at all. Patel, Sidde Gauda says that the receipt for Rs 30/- is in the hand writing of his gumasta Gundappa and signed by the Patel. "The amount was remitted to the Treasury on the 29th February 80. I cannot say on what date the amount had been received. If the amount had been received on the date mentioned in the receipt, I cannot say for what reason the "Irasal" was delayed till the 29th idem. The amount was received on the date mentioned in the receipt; but as the Shanbhog was absent it was credited in the accounts on the date mentioned in the receipt". As regards the collection of excess - the Shanbhog says "The amount mentioned in Papanna's Patta was Rs 74-6-6. Add to this Local Cess of Rs 2-4-0 as per circular received in the year Pramadi - Total Rs 76-10-7. Amount paid by Papanna on a/c of Mohadi Sabi is Re 1/-, on a/c of Yadava Guruvan Venkatappa

The Arizdar having neither produced the original receipt nor presented himself, no examination can be made.

The case must be enquired into, if the complainant produces the receipt. At present, the Asst Commr's remarks are proper.

(Intd) L. S.
Dy Comr
22-12-86.

which should be inquired into locally and disposed of by the Amildar.

The Amildar should report on the irregularity connected with the cattle pound, after inquiry. 22-12-86
(Intd) L. S.
Dy Comr

				he was dismissed. He should be removed from the Pateli offic		
22	do	do	24-8-85	Patel Siddegauda and others ask them to pay Rs 5-2-0 each for the purpose of preferring a complaint to the Dewan	do	do
23	do	do	17-8-85	Patel Siddegauda and others have taken our Receipt books	"The Shekdar having required the Pattas for the purpose of entering therein the "Jamabandi I took some Pattas and gave them to the Shekdar. Yesterday I produced a list of such Pattas. That is all. The Pattas were not taken from the ryots with a view to defraud them. I hear that Pattas have recently been returned to the ryots.	

Rs 6-10-1 - Grand Total Rs 84-4-6, which amount has been collected. I have collected no excess amount." According to the receipt in the file Rs 74-6-6 only are credited to Papanna. The Shanbhog says further that he will show the sum of Rs 2-4-1 leviable from Papanna in the Kanmi Jasti Goshvara statement. The Patel says that Papanna has been paying Re 1/ on a/c of Mohadin Sabi and Rs 6-10-1 on a/c of Guruvan Venkata.

10 Deposition given by Timmaraya of Kanmi in the Beta - mangala Taluk before the Dy Comr. 26-11-85

I paid the Shanbhog Rs 6-8-0 on the 4th Dec/84 and got the amount entered in the Patta, that is now produced. I request that the accounts may be examined with a view to ascertain whether the amount has been credited therein.

Kadarappa says that the handwriting and signature in the receipt are not his own, and that the amount has not been received. The signature in the receipt does not resemble the signature admitted by Kadarappa to be his own - The complainant is a lad of 14 years & says that he cannot prove the writing in the receipt to be that of Kadarappa.

The amount was recovered and remitted to the Ob Treasury with Dockt no 772.

12-1-87
(Intd) C.S.
Dy Comr.

In the absence of the Khirdi, it cannot be said whether amount has been collected and remitted to the Treasury

The Shambhog's statement that the "Khirdi" was not with him, ~~could~~ cannot be accepted. He being responsible for the production of the Khirdi Book, proper notice should be taken of his conduct in case of his not producing the Khirdi Book

22-12-86
(Intd) C.S.
Dy Comr.

20	Dharmana Bhima's Arzi 16-10-85	I have produced a copy of the receipt given me by the Shanbhog for the assessment paid to him for the year Iswara 2-12-77 - Rs 4-8-0 14-7-77 - 1-15-6 22-4-78 - 1-10-8 18-8-78 - 1-15-9 10-1-8	Kadarappa says "The Patta does not stand in the name of Dharmana Bhima, but is in the name of Subba. Rs 1-10-5 have been credited to him in the accounts. Rs 1-15-9 do not appear to have been credited in the accounts. In the absence of the Khirdi for the year Iswara the remaining two items cannot be looked up."
21	Arzi from the ryots of Betamangala. 15-8-85	The Patel does not do his work properly in connection with the Cattle pound. When he was formerly employed as a Gollar in the Treasury, some discrepancy was found in the amount in the Treasury &	This is a matter ~~which should be inquired into and disposed of by the Amildar~~.

There is no room for further examination

The complainant having recently submitted an argz to us, a notice should be issued to him to appear before us.

22-12-86
(Intd) b. g.
by Comr.

The original of the notice issued to him has been returned with a remark dated 6th January by that he has received the duplicate, that he formerly gave a deposition, in court, and the remark was signed by him. He having failed to appear as directed in the notice, his complaint has been cancelled 10-1-87 (Intd) b. g.
by Comr.

11	Dandi Lakshmi o.	"I do not know whether sum of Rs 5/- paid by me to the then bhog has been credited in the accounts. I have produced the Patta in which the amount has been credited.	Kadarappa says that the receipt is not in his hand writing and that he has not received the amount; and that the entry in the Patta regarding the amount which is said to be made in December 1884 is made after an entry dated 4th February 1885, and that this shows that the receipt is false. The complainant says that he cannot prove the matter.
12	Deposition given by Sivanagana Munigo before the Dy Comr 26/11/85	The following amounts have been paid to Kadarappa on account of my elder brother Rama's Patta and a receipt obtained therefor. 1-12-84 - Rs 6-8-0 7-12-84 — 7-13-4 12-1-85 10-0-0 6-3-85 7-8-0 Out of the above Rs 17-8-0 have been paid by me and the remaining sum by Rama.	Kadarappa says that with the exception of the receipt for Rs 6-8-0, the receipts produced are not in his hand writing. Out of the three remaining items, the sums of Rs 10/- & Rs 7-8-0 have been received & credited in the Khurdi book and separate receipts have been given for them. These receipts are not now produced.

It appears from the correspondence that the amount was collected on the 9th September 1884 and it changed hands and correspondence was carried on till the 15th December when it was paid to the Taluk Katcherry. The Amildar should be written to, to ascertain whether the amount has been paid to the Taluk.

The Amildar submitted report No 306 dated 7-6-86 (which is in the file) stating that the Khirdi book and the Treasury accounts did not show that the amount was received. The amount was in the hands of the Shekdar who simply made a remark that he paid the amount to the Shanbhog and below it he made another remark stating that the amount was remitted to the Treasury; but there is no reference to show that the amount has till now been paid to the Treasury. The Shekdar should be held responsible for this amount. Notices should be issued to the Shekdar and Shanbhog to appear before us.

22-12-86
(Intd) G.S.
By Comr

On examination of the Shekdar and the Shanbhog and the records of the case, it is found that owing to the irregularities committed by the Shekdar and Shanbhog, the amount has not been remitted to the Treasury. As per orders passed on the Shanbhog's deposition Rs the Kandayam amount of Rs 55-8-8 and interest thereon Rs 7-12-4 that is the total sum of Rs 63-5-8 0 should be recovered from these two persons, and a fine of Rs 60/- at the rate of Rs 5/- each should be recovered from them 11-1-87 Kolar

(Signed) C Subbarao
By Comr

19	Talvar Hanumas Arzi 16-10-85	"I have produced the receipt given me by Thambhoji Kadarappa for assessment paid by me in the year Dhatu. The accounts should be examined to see whether the amounts have been credited therein 9-12-76- Rs 2 6-1-77 — Rs 2 18-2-77 — Rs 2 10-3-77 — Rs 2 21-4-77 - 4 12-6 Total Rs 8-12-6	Kadarappa said "This can be ascertained from the Khirdi book ~~which~~ for the years Dhatu which is now in the Taluk Katchery" When Kadarappa was told that a report had been received ~~from the~~ stating that the Khirdi Book was not in the Taluk Katchery, he said that he had no Khirdi book with him, and produced the "Vasul Baki Book". According to it nothing is due from Talvar Hanuma.

this point has not been proved. "Do remark"

(Intd) C. I. C. by Comr.
22-12-86

On an examination of the complainant and his witnesses the complaint was not proved & it was rejected.

(Intd) C. G.
Bowringpet
19-1-87.

There is no evedence to prove this item.

This man having recently sent in an arzi, a notice should be issued to him to appear before us.

22-12-86
(Intd) C. G.
By Comr.

On examination of the complainant and his witnesses the complaint was not proved, the evidence is very suspicious. The dates of payment &c cannot be ascertained. There seems to be enmity between the complainant & the shanbhog. The writing of these items entered at the end of the receipt is suspicious. It has already been noticed that the people of Bowringpet imitate one another's hand writing. This complaint is therefore rejected.

19-1-87
(Intd) C. G.
By Comr.

		The accounts must be examined to see whether the amounts have been credited.	
13	Deposition given by Anche Papanna before the Dy Comr & 19-8-85	I paid Rs 24/- to the Shanbhog on the 1st Dec., on a/c of my Patta for the years Farana and obtained a receipt which I have now produced. I paid also Rs 7/- on a/c of the patta of Vemagal Venkatiga and did not obtain any receipt for the same. The accounts may be examined to see whether these items have been credited.	Anche Papanna was sent for, but did not present himself before us. Kadarappa says as follows:- "I have given a receipt for Rs 24/-. On the Dec. it was credited in the Khirdi Book as follows:- Rs 14-4-5 and Rs 1-15-7 on a/c of Anche Papanna, and Rs 7-12-0 on a/c of Venkattanyana Papanna. The above mentioned amount was the sum of Rs 24/- paid by Anche Papanna, and was received on the 10th December but by slip of the hand the date was put down as 1st December. The Arzidar has been paying not only Rs 1-15-7 on a/c of his own Patta but also the assessment on a/c of the lands of Anche Muniappana Papanna & Venkatanyana Papanna whose lands he has

The Arzidar himself says that he cannot prove the matter.

Do. remark.

22-12-86

This Arzi having been received for report, a report shd be submitted to the Dewan.

(Intd) L. L.

Dy Comr.

This point cannot be enquired into.

The complainant not having appeared this item should be cancelled.

22-12-86

(Intd) L. L.

Dy Comr.

the Patta of Abdul Sitar Khan there is a receipt for this amount

"When the Asst Comr had come to the Taluk the Arzi referred to was caused to be written and presented by the Shekdar and he paid the fees to the pleader & prosecuted the case. I do not know any thing and cannot prove the mis-appropriation".

The amount admitted to have been received by Kadarappa is credited in the Khirdi book. The explanation given by Kadarappa for the discrepancy between the dates mentioned in the receipt and the date of credit is not satisfactory. The complainant did not present himself before us and prove the contrary. Shanbhogs are in the habit of committing such discrepancies. But however there is no proof as to whether any fraud has been committed.

It was irregular on the part of the Shanbhog not to have credited the amount in the Khirdi Book on the date mentioned in the receipt. The Asst Comr's remarks as regards the other points are proper.

22-12-86
(Init) C.S.
By Comr.

			been enjoying. The Arzidar having stated that he would bring the several Pattas to me and get the amounts entered in their respective Pattas, I gave him a single receipt for the whole sum of Rs. 64/-. Papanna did not pay Rs 7/- on account of Venugal Venkatiga"
14	Arzi from Kamba palli Ramaiya addressed the Dewan 5-10-85	Shanbhog Kedarappa has misappropriated the money collected from the ryots, felled ~~trees~~ trees belonging to Govt and committed fraud in connection with Govt lands	The summons issued unserved ~~to the~~ residence could not appear, thus
5	Nayanahalli Srinivasa Row's Arzi 22-9-85	Shanbhog Kadarappa has presented the total amount collected from the ryots to be less than what it actually was and thus defrauded the Govt. This can be found out by totalling up the items in account No 9 from the year Dhatu up to the year Tarana	"I wrote the Arzi at the instigation of the Shekdar Nanjundaiya He gave me the Stamp and the Arche Hudsel" I do not know any thing, nor can I prove any thing that is stated there."

to him has been returned with the complainant ~~remark~~ as his place of not having appear not be found out. As he died, this item & matter cannot be inquired be cancelled.

22-12-86
(Intd) C. S.
By Comr.

The Azidar himself says that he cannot prove the matter this item should be cancelled

22-12-86
(Intd) C. S.
By Comr.

16	Arzi from Lidde Gauda & other addressed to the Dewan 29-9-85	Shanbhog Kada rappa and his younger brother trouble the people by committing several irregularities.	"Shekdar Nanjundaya himself wrote the Arzi and asked me to sign it, and I did sign it. I do not know anything that is stated there, nor can I prove it."
17	Betamangala Madhvamuni yachari's Arzi 17-8-85	(1) Shanbhog Kada rappa has misappriated the Amount of contribution collected from the ryots. (2) There has been much fraud in connection with land (3) He has misappropriated the Kanday am collected from ryots to a large extent. (4) To supply fuel to the mines he has cut down a large number of trees standing on Govt land.	The Arzidar's residence not having been found out, the Summons issued to him has been returned unserved.
18	Sultan Sabis criminal complaint to the 1st class Magistrate's Court 26-11-85	Shanbhog Kada rappa misappropriated Rs 55-8-8 paid by Gulam Ahmed Khan on a/c of	

No. 206

30

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Official Memorandum.

16.

L.A. 63-88-1

The accompanying Petition No. 836, dated 10th July 1888 received at the Dewan's Office from Kolar Ramaiya of Kambampalli, Bowringpett Taluk

is forwarded, together with its _____ enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Bestiel, for enquiry.

BANGALORE,
18th July 1888.

Draft

By Order,

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—7-1-88.—1,000.

(General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE
9124
19 MAR 89
307
DEWANCE MYS

1889.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

17

Dated 20th March
Despatched 21st "
Received 24th "

Enclosures No. one file & no file in separate cover

General No. 2663
No. 738.

Suggests that Shanbhog Kadarappa may be finally removed from the Office and that a member of his family appointed in his stead.

Ardagh
14/3

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated 10th March 1889.

No 2663/738.

(17)

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No 206 of the 18/21 July last, forwarding for enquiry a petition from Kolar Ramiah of Kambampalli, Bowringpet Taluk, I have the honor to state that the petitioner makes the following allegations in his petition underreport:—

1st. That Khanhope Kaderappa of Betamangala and his brother Ramiah Cut down and removed certain trees belonging to Government, and 2nd. That the Deputy Commissioner orders suspending Khanhope Kaderappa and fining him in a Case in which he was charged with having embezzled certain Government money were not enforced by the Taluk authorities

*herewith returned.

authorities.—

2. The records available on the subject show that the first mentioned charge was duly enquired into and disposed of by Mr Raghunathaiyar, the then Assistant Commissioner, and 1st class Magistrate, in Criminal Case No 115 of 1885 on the file of his Court, in which the accused Kaderappa and others were discharged under Section 215 of the Criminal Procedure Code.—

3. With regard to the 2nd point urged by the petitioner, the amildar reports that a portion of the fine inflicted on Kaderappa by the Deputy Commissioner, Mr Lockhart, on account of certain irregularities on his part remained unrealized, and that the same has since been recovered and duly credited to Government.—

4. A Ismaekka to perform the duties of Khanhagne of Betamangalam was appointed by Kaderappa in 1886 with the sanction of the Deputy Commissioner, who directed that the registered Khanhagne Kaderappa should not be allowed to take any active part in the discharge of the functions of the office. The Amildar reports that he has duly warned Kaderappa in the matter.

Town

From the records it is not clear that Mr. Lushington finally and expressly dismissed Khandoque Kaderappa, for whom he appointed a Gumaskha in 1866, and interdicted Kaderappa's interference with the duties of the Khandoque.

5. The Gumaskha appears to be a mere nominal one, and Kaderappa is still the effective Khandoque. All chance of reconciliation between him and the Nyaks has lately, and lately, he was dishonorably assaulted by a large body of the people.—

6. Under these circumstances I can expect no improvement until Kaderappa is formally removed from the office and another qualified member of his family appointed Khandoque on the condition that if Kaderappa, who is highly obnoxious to the Nyaks, should again interfere in the work, the Khandoqui would be given to a perfect stranger.

7. The original records connected with the case as per accompanying Parcel are herewith submitted for reference and obliging return when no longer required.—

I have the honor to be,
Your most obt. Servt.
Abdul Rahman
Offg Deputy Commissioner

3.

Lr 63-88 (18)

Read: ... letter no. 3363 dated 10th March 1889, from the Dy: Comm^r Kolar District reporting on the Petition of Kolar Ramaiya of Kambampalli, Dowringpet Taluq, & submitting the proc^gs: connected with the case.

Order: A Camp ^{738} no 2144 dated 21-3-89 ^{27}

The Vernacular proceedings received with the letter above read are returned.

The Dy Comm^r may make any arrangements he may deem necessary for the proper discharge of the Dharmadaya duties of Betamangala.

~~Reference to the Collector cancelled~~ R.F.

21/3

To
The Dy. Comm^r Kolar.



No 722

Lr. 63-88-4

To

The Dewan to His Highness the Maha Raja
of Mysore,

Revenue Mis: Appeal Nr.

Kadarappa, Shanbhog of Bélá
= gala, Bowring Pett Talook-
- Appellan

Under Section 32 of the
Mysore Land Revenue Code

The Appellant above named
most respectfully begs to appeal by
Honor against the Order of the Deputy
Commissioner of Kolar dated the 2nd d
of May 1889, for the following reasons, namely:

1 That the removal, by the Deput
Commissioner of the Appellant from
Office of Shanbhogi, is wholly witho
reasons.

2 That the Appellant is not guilty
of any offence whatsoever, nor has he
ever done anything which would deprive
him of the Exercise of his office -

37

3 That one Ramanja, a divided brother of the appellant is a Patel of one of the villages of which the appellant happens to be a Shanbhog, is also no reason why the appellant should be removed from office.

4 That the appellant has been a shanbhog of more than 30 years standing, and as such has given entire satisfaction not only to his official superiors but also to the Ryots he has to come in contact with, in the discharge of his duties.

5 That Ramanja above referred to is one of the Patels of Betamangala and merely to look after the water supply to lands under Amanikere of Betamangala and as such he has no concern, whatever with matters of accounts or money of the village of Betamangala of which the appellant is the Shanbhog.

6 That the appellant is a respectable man and has earned a very good name.

The appellant therefore most respectfully prays that the Order of the Deputy Commr now appealed against maybe set aside and that the appellant maybe ordered to be reinstated in the office. S. Ramanjaiah

Bangalore
18. 7. 89

Adv: for Appellant

28 Rec'd 20/7/89 No. 173

38

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

49

Official Memorandum.

L.D. 63-88-5

The accompanying Petition No. 722, dated 18th July 1889 received at the Dewan's Office from Mr. S. Ranjappa, Advocate for Kadarappa, Shanbhogy of Balamangala, Bowringpett is forwarded, together with its one enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, for submission by Proceedings.

Forwarded 23/7/89

BANGALORE, 18th July 1889

Head Commissioner's order

By Order,

Under Secretary.

18/20

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—11-8-88.—1,000.

(General Serial No. 91)

No. 173 1889

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

(20)

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.

Dated 23rd July 1889.

Despatched 25th Do

Received

Enclosures No. 34 Vernacular proceedings

General No. 1278/1889-90
No. 207

His Official Memorandum No. 173 dated the 18th Instant, forwarding for Submission of Proceedings a petition from Mr Ranjappa, advocate, for Kadesrappa, late Shanbhoque of Betawangala, Bowringpett Taluk.

Has the honor to submit herewith As per accompanying Perist, the Proceedings called for in the above.

Abdul Rahman

Deputy Commissr

Kolar

Herewith returned with Translations.

N.K.S. for Revenue Secy 31/7

15 29/7

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

From

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. ۲

40

(General Serial No. 30.)

188

From

(21)

To

The Deputy Commr
Kolar District.

Dated 15-16 August 1889

Despatched 19

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. Ld. 63-887

No. 235

The Proceedings for-
warded with his docket-
No 1278/207 23rd July 1889 do not
contain papers relating
to the case of embezzlement
an account of which a
Petitioner was fined
112½ Rupees and in con-
sequence of which he
was ordered not to do
his duties connected
with

41

with his office of Shambhog. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to forward those Proceedings as early as possible.

By order

Draft
Commissioner's order

Under Secretary

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE
4349
5 - SEP. 89
249
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

1889

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

22

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Government of Mysore

261: 63-88-8

Dated 4th
Despatched 4th } September 1889
Received

Enclosures No. 1 File of Vernacular records

General No. 1598 / 1889-90.
No. 261

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 235 dated the 15th ultimo, and the Under Secretary's Telegram of todays date to the address of the Amildar of the Bowringpet Taluk, calling for further records in connection with the Petition of Kadarsahib late Shanbhogue of Betmangala, of the ~~Idmt~~ Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Petitioner seems to have been fined in 2 cases of embezzlement. The records relating to the case

in which the Petitioners 3 was fined Rs 61-8-0 for having embezzled certain Tank contributions paid by the Ryots are herewith submitted as per accompanying Facicle.

The search made in this office for the records in the other case shews that they were sent up to the Chief Court in May 1888 for reference in a criminal case, but I have not yet been able to ascertain whether and when the same were returned.

Further search is being made and I hope to be able to address you again tomorrow on the subject.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

Abdul Rahmaan

Deputy Commissioner

(General Serial No. 29.)

44

1889.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 435 5-SEP.89 237

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar Dist.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 5th September.

Despatched

Received 21.63-889

Enclosures No. 1 File of records.

General No. 1677 1889-90.

No. 262

This office letter No. [redacted] of Yesterday's date submitting certain records in connection with the Petition of Kadarappa, late Shanbhog of Betamangala, Bowringpet Taluk.

Has the honor to submit herewith as per accompanying list the records of the other case referred to in the above, which have since been found in the General Assistant's

Commissioner's office to which the same appears to have been returned from the Chief Court.—

Abdul Rahman,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar Dist.

1
1.89

46

(General Serial No. 30.)

1889.

From

(24)

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated 10th September 89

Despatched

Received Kl. 63-88-10

Enclosures No.

General No. 4830 V

No. 193

Upholding the dismissal of Kadrappa, late Shanbhoge of Betmangala, and declining to interfere with the orders of the Deputy Commissioner.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. 922 of 18th July 1889.

(24)

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Kadiappah, late Sheanboy
of Betmangala. Bowringpet Taluk
Kolar Distt.

Decision

This is an appeal against an order passed by the Kolar Dy: Commr., on the 2nd May 1889, dismissing Appellant from the office of Sheanboy of Betmangala, and directing the registry of the office in the name of his minor adopted son Venkatramanaya, and the performance of the duties by a Gumastha till the minor attains majority.

The appellant was tried departmentally on several charges of embezzlement. In two cases it was found that the payments made to him by ryots were credited in the Khirdi not on the dates on which the receipts were granted but several days afterwards. In another case the appellant failed to produce his Khirdi and to prove that a sum of Rs. 8.12.6, alleged to have been paid by Tellivar Nanjunda, was duly credited in the accounts. In the fourth case it was clearly proved, that a sum of Rs. 61.8.-, being the amount of contribution for the repair of a certain Tank, which was recovered in October 1875, was not remitted to the Treasury till a complaint was preferred in the matter in October 1887, and that the appellant was responsible for the

Embezzlement and non-remittance of this amount for nearly 12 years. This last charge was sufficiently aggravated to justify the dismissal of the appellant. The Government therefore decline to interfere with the order passed by the Dy: Commr., and direct the dismissal of this Appeal. The Enc: is returned.

"By order"

Under Secy:

A 4830/178 of 10-9-89
& VR 46 Bks

Copy forwarded to the Dy: Commr. Kolar Distt; returning the Vernacular Proceedings received with his office No. 1278/207 of 28th July 1889, also with his No. 2663/738, 1598/361 & 1599/282 of 10th March, 4th and 5th Sept. 1889 respectively.

"By order"

Under Secy

No. 722

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

18th September 1889

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Kadriappa, late Shanbog of Betmangala, Bowringpet Taluk.

Rolar Distt:

(25)

L.D. 63-88-11

Endorsement. to appellant

In reply to his ——— Petition, dated 18th July 1889

Kadriappa, late Shanbog of Betmangala Bowring-pete Taluk,

is informed that some of the charges preferred against him were proved during the departmental Enquiry, and that one of them, relating to the embezzlement and non-remittance of a tank contribution amount (Rs 61-8-0) for nearly 12 years, was sufficiently aggravated to justify the Petitioner's dismissal from the office of Shanbog, and the Government therefore decline to interfere with the Dy: Comm'r: order complained against, and direct the dismissal of this appeal. The Enc: is returned.

By order.

Asst: Secy:

To.

Kadaiappa

Late Shanbogue of

Betmangala,

Bowringpet Taluk, Kolar Dt.

18th September 89.

No 722.

Upholding ~~the Petitioner's~~ dismissal ~~from the office~~ of Shanbogue and declining to interfere with ~~the Deputy~~ Commissioner's order.

COURT FEE

TWO RUPEES

No 1762

21-88-12

ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਦੇ ਕਰੋਧ ਦੀ ਅੱਗ ਦੇ ਵਿਖੇ ਜਿਹੜੀ ਸਦਾ ਤੀਕ ਬਲਦੀ ਰਹੇਗੀ
ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਵਿੱਤ੍ਰ ਦੂਤਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਿਖੇ ਅਤੇ ਲੇਲੇ ਦੇ ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਅੱਗ
ਅਤੇ ਗੰਧਕ ਦੀ ਝੀਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਪਾਏ ਜਾਣਗੇ ਅਤੇ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਦੁੱਖ ਦਾ
ਧੂੰਆਂ ਸਦਾ ਤੀਕ ਉੱਠਦਾ ਰਹੇਗਾ ਅਤੇ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਰਾਤ ਦਿਨ ਚੈਨ
ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲੇਗਾ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਪਸ਼ੂ ਦੀ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਦੀ ਮੂਰਤ ਦੀ ਪੂਜਾ ਕਰਦੇ ਹਨ
ਅਤੇ ਜੇ ਕੋਈ ਉਹ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਦਾ ਚਿੰਨ੍ਹ ਪਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਇਸ
ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਹੀ ਹੋਵੇਗਾ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਸਦਾ ਤੀਕ ਦੁੱਖ ਭੋਗੇਗਾ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ
ਸੰਤਾਂ ਦਾ ਧੀਰਜ ਹੈ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਯਿਸੂ ਦੀ ਅਤੇ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ
ਮੰਨਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਮੈਂ ਸੁਣਿਆ ਜੋ ਸਵਰਗ ਤੋਂ ਇੱਕ ਅਵਾਜ਼ ਆਈ ਜੋ
ਮੈਨੂੰ ਆਖਦੀ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਲਿਖ ਜੋ ਮੁਰਦੇ ਹੁਣ ਤੋਂ ਮਗਰੋਂ ਧੰਨ ਹਨ ਜੋ
ਪ੍ਰਭੂ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਰਦੇ ਹਨ ਹਾਂ ਆਤਮਾ ਆਖਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਓਹ ਆਪਣੀ
ਮਿਹਨਤ ਤੋਂ ਅਰਾਮ ਪਾਉਣ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਕੰਮ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ
ਨਾਲ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਫੇਰ ਮੈਂ ਵੇਖਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਵੇਖੋ ਇੱਕ ਚਿੱਟਾ ਬੱਦਲ
ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਬੱਦਲ ਉੱਤੇ ਕੋਈ ਮਨੁੱਖ ਦੇ ਪੁੱਤ੍ਰ ਵਰਗਾ ਬੈਠਾ ਹੋਇਆ
ਸੀ ਜਿਹ ਦੇ ਸਿਰ ਉੱਤੇ ਸੋਨੇ ਦਾ ਮੁਕਟ ਅਤੇ ਹੱਥ ਵਿੱਚ ਤਿੱਖੀ
ਦਾਤੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਇੱਕ ਹੋਰ ਦੂਤ ਹੈਕਲ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਨਿੱਕਲਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ
ਨੇ ਜੋ ਬੱਦਲ ਉੱਤੇ ਬੈਠਾ ਸੀ ਉੱਚੀ ਅਵਾਜ਼ ਨਾਲ ਪੁਕਾਰਿਆ ਕਿ
ਆਪਣੀ ਦਾਤੀ ਲਾ ਅਤੇ ਵੱਢ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਵੱਢਣ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਆ ਗਿਆ
ਹੈ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਧਰਤੀ ਦੀ ਫਸਲ ਪੱਕ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਜਿਹ ਨੇ ਬੱਦਲ
ਉੱਤੇ ਬੈਠਾ ਸੀ ਆਪਣੀ ਦਾਤੀ ਧਰਤੀ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਾਈ ਅਤੇ ਧਰਤੀ ਦੀ
ਫਸਲ ਵੱਢੀ ਗਈ ਫੇਰ ਇੱਕ ਹੋਰ ਦੂਤ ਸਵਰਗ ਵਿੱਚ ਜਿਹੜਾ ਹੈਕਲ
ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਨਿੱਕਲਿਆ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਤਿੱਖੀ ਦਾਤੀ ਰੱਖਦਾ ਸੀ ਫੇਰ ਇੱਕ ਹੋਰ
ਦੂਤ ਜਿਹ ਦਾ ਅਗਨ ਉੱਤੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਸੀ ਜਗਵੇਦੀ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਨਿੱਕਲਿਆ
ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਜਿਹ ਦੇ ਕੋਲ ਤਿੱਖੀ ਦਾਤੀ ਸੀ ਉੱਚੀ ਅਵਾਜ਼ ਨਾਲ
ਪੁਕਾਰਿਆ ਕਿ ਆਪਣੀ ਤਿੱਖੀ ਦਾਤੀ ਲਾ ਅਤੇ ਧਰਤੀ ਦੀ ਵੇਲ ਦੇ
ਗੁੱਛੇ ਵੱਢ ਲੈ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਉਹ ਦੇ ਅੰਗੂਰ ਪੱਕ ਗਏ ਹਨ ਤਾਂ ਦੂਤ ਨੇ
ਆਪਣੀ ਦਾਤੀ ਧਰਤੀ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਾਈ ਅਤੇ ਧਰਤੀ ਦੀ ਵੇਲ ਨੂੰ ਵੱਢਿਆ
ਅਤੇ ਅੰਗੂਰ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਦੇ ਕਰੋਧ ਦੇ ਵੱਡੇ ਕੁੰਡ ਵਿੱਚ ਸੁੱਟੇ ਅਤੇ
ਕੁੰਡ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਬਾਹਰ ਮਿੱਧਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਕੁੰਡ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਲਹੂ ਨਿੱਕਲਿਆ
ਜੋ ਘੋੜਿਆਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਲਗਾਮਾਂ ਤੀਕ ਪਹੁੰਚਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਇੱਕ ਹਜ਼ਾਰ ਛੇ ਸੌ
ਫਰਲਾਂਗ ਤੀਕ ਫੈਲ ਗਿਆ ਫੇਰ ਮੈਂ ਸਵਰਗ ਵਿੱਚ ਇੱਕ ਹੋਰ ਨਿਸ਼ਾਨ
ਵੇਖਿਆ ਜੋ ਵੱਡਾ ਅਤੇ ਅਚਰਜ ਸੀ ਅਰਥਾਤ ਸੱਤ ਦੂਤ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਕੋਲ
ਸੱਤ ਆਖਰੀ ਬਵਾ ਸਨ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨਾਲ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਦਾ ਕਰੋਧ
ਪੂਰਾ ਹੋਇਆ ਫੇਰ ਮੈਂ ਵੇਖਿਆ ਕਿ ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕੱਚ ਦਾ ਸਮੁੰਦਰ ਹੈ ਜੋ
ਅੱਗ ਨਾਲ ਰਲਿਆ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਜੋ ਪਸ਼ੂ ਉੱਤੇ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਦੀ
ਮੂਰਤ ਉੱਤੇ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਦੇ ਅੰਕ ਉੱਤੇ ਜਿੱਤ ਪਾਉਂਦੇ ਹਨ
ਕੱਚ ਦੇ ਸਮੁੰਦਰ ਉੱਤੇ ਖੜੇ ਹੋਏ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਬਰਬਤਾਂ
ਲਈ ਹੋਈਆਂ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਦੇ ਦਾਸ ਮੂਸਾ ਦਾ ਅਤੇ ਲੇਲੇ
ਦਾ ਗੀਤ ਗਾਉਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਕਿ ਹੇ ਪ੍ਰਭੂ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਸਰਬ ਸ਼ਕਤੀਮਾਨ ਤੇਰੇ
ਕੰਮ ਵੱਡੇ ਅਤੇ ਅਚਰਜ ਹਨ ਹੇ ਜੁਗਾਂ ਦੇ ਪਾਤਸ਼ਾਹ ਤੇਰੇ ਰਾਹ ਸੱਚੇ
ਅਤੇ ਠੀਕ ਹਨ ਹੇ ਪ੍ਰਭੂ ਕੌਣ ਤੈਥੋਂ ਨਾ ਡਰੇਗਾ ਅਤੇ ਤੇਰੇ ਨਾਮ ਦੀ
ਵਡਿਆਈ ਨਾ ਕਰੇਗਾ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਕੇਵਲ ਤੂੰ ਹੀ ਪਵਿੱਤ੍ਰ ਹੈਂ ਸਾਰੀਆਂ
ਕੌਮਾਂ ਆਉਣਗੀਆਂ ਅਤੇ ਤੇਰੇ ਅੱਗੇ ਮੱਥਾ ਟੇਕਣਗੀਆਂ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਤੇਰੇ
ਧਰਮ ਦੇ ਕੰਮ ਪਰਗਟ ਹੋਏ ਹਨ ਫੇਰ ਮੈਂ ਵੇਖਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਵੇਖੋ ਸਵਰਗ
ਵਿੱਚ ਗਵਾਹੀ ਦੇ ਤੰਬੂ ਦਾ ਹੈਕਲ ਖੁੱਲ੍ਹਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਸੱਤ ਦੂਤ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ
ਦੇ ਕੋਲ ਸੱਤ ਬਵਾ ਸਨ ਹੈਕਲ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਨਿੱਕਲੇ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਸ਼ੁੱਧ ਅਤੇ
ਚਮਕੀਲਾ ਲਿਨਨ ਪਹਿਨੇ ਹੋਏ ਸਨ ਅਤੇ ਛਾਤੀਆਂ ਉੱਤੇ ਸੋਨੇ ਦੇ ਪਟੇ
ਬੰਨ੍ਹੇ ਹੋਏ ਸਨ ਅਤੇ ਚੌਹਾਂ ਜੀਵਾਂ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਇੱਕ ਨੇ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਸੱਤ ਦੂਤਾਂ
ਨੂੰ ਸੱਤ ਸੋਨੇ ਦੇ ਕਟੋਰੇ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਜੋ ਸਦਾ ਤੀਕ ਜੀਉਂਦੇ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਦੇ
ਕਰੋਧ ਨਾਲ ਭਰੇ ਹੋਏ ਸਨ ਅਤੇ ਹੈਕਲ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਦੀ ਮਹਿਮਾ ਅਤੇ
ਉਹ ਦੀ ਸਮਰੱਥਾ ਦੇ ਧੂੰਏਂ ਨਾਲ ਭਰ ਗਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਜਦ ਤੀਕ ਉਹ ਸੱਤ
ਦੂਤਾਂ ਦੇ ਸੱਤ ਬਵਾ ਪੂਰੇ ਨਾ ਹੋਏ ਕੋਈ ਹੈਕਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਜਾ ਨਾ ਸੱਕਿਆ

ਸਾਰਾਂਸ਼ ਵਿਚ ਲਿਖੋ ।

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 3. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ । 10

(ੳ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਔਰਤ ਦੀ ਸਥਿਤੀ

(ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

(ੲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 4. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ । 10

(ੳ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਔਰਤ ਦੀ ਸਥਿਤੀ

(ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

(ੲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 5. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ । 10

(ੳ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਔਰਤ ਦੀ ਸਥਿਤੀ

(ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

(ੲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 6. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ । 10

(ੳ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਔਰਤ ਦੀ ਸਥਿਤੀ

(ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

(ੲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 7. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ । 10

(ੳ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਔਰਤ ਦੀ ਸਥਿਤੀ

(ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

(ੲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 8. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ । 10

(ੳ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਔਰਤ ਦੀ ਸਥਿਤੀ

(ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

(ੲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 9. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ । 10

(ੳ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਔਰਤ ਦੀ ਸਥਿਤੀ

(ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

(ੲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 10. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ । 10

(ੳ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਔਰਤ ਦੀ ਸਥਿਤੀ

(ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

(ੲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੇ ਸਕੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ

ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਲਾਲ ਬਨਾਮ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਅਤੇ ਹੋਰ

ਅਪੀਲ ਨੰਬਰ ੧੨੩੪ ਸਾਲ ੨੦੨੩

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਲਾਲ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਹਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਰਾਮਪੁਰ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਅਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਸੰਗਰੂਰ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਅਮਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ

ਬਨਾਮ

੧. ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ, ਰਾਹੀਂ ਸਕੱਤਰ, ਮਾਲ ਵਿਭਾਗ

੨. ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਕੁਲਵੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਧਾਲੀਵਾਲ, ਉਪ-ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ, ਸੰਗਰੂਰ

ਅਪੀਲ ਅਧੀਨ ਧਾਰਾ ੧੭੦ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਭੂਮੀ ਮਾਲ ਐਕਟ, ੧੯੬੮ ਅਤੇ ਨਿਯਮ ੧੪ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਭੂਮੀ ਮਾਲ ਨਿਯਮ, ੧੯੭੦

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਅਮਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ, ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ

ਉੱਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਰਾਜਵਿੰਦਰ ਕੌਰ, ਸਹਾਇਕ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਵਕੀਲ

ਫੈਸਲਾ ਰਾਖਵਾਂ ਰੱਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ: ੦੩ ਮਾਰਚ ੨੦੨੪

ਫੈਸਲਾ ਸੁਣਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ: ੧੭ ਮਾਰਚ ੨੦੨੪

ਸਕੱਤਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਵਿਨੋਦ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਗੁਪਤਾ, ਪੀ.ਸੀ.ਐਸ.

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਲਾਲ ਨੇ ਉਪ-ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ, ਸੰਗਰੂਰ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੨ ਜੁਲਾਈ ੨੦੨੩ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਇਹ ਅਪੀਲ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ, ਜਿਸ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਖਾਰਜ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਵਿਵਾਦ ਪਿੰਡ ਰਾਮਪੁਰ ਦੀ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਬੰਦੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਜ ਇੰਤਕਾਲ ਨੰਬਰ ੪੫੭ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਹੈ।

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦਾ ਕਹਿਣਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਖਸਰਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧੨/੩ ਅਤੇ ੧੨/੪ ਦਾ ਕੁੱਲ ਰਕਬਾ ੩.੨ ਏਕੜ ਦਾ ਕਾਸ਼ਤਕਾਰ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਮੌਰੂਸੀ ਤੌਰ ’ਤੇ ਮਿਲੀ ਹੈ। ਉਸ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਸਵ. ਹਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਲਗਭਗ ੩੫ ਸਾਲ ਤੋਂ ਵਾਹੀ ਹੇਠ ਰੱਖੀ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ। ਉਸ ਦਾ ਦਾਅਵਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਕਦੇ ਵੀ ਕਾਸ਼ਤਕਾਰੀ ਹੱਕ ਤਿਆਗਣ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਲਿਖਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ।

ਉੱਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੨ ਦਾ ਕਹਿਣਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦਾ ਅਸਲ ਮਾਲਕ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਦੇਵ ਰਾਜ ਹੈ, ਜੋ ਕਿ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਕਈ ਸਾਲਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਵਿਦੇਸ਼ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਹਿ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ। ਉਸ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਜਾਅਲੀ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ਾਂ ਦੇ ਆਧਾਰ ’ਤੇ ਇੰਤਕਾਲ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਹੈ। ਉਪ-ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਦਲੀਲ ਨੂੰ ਸਵੀਕਾਰ ਕਰਦਿਆਂ ਅਪੀਲ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ।

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਅਮਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਨੇ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਉਪ-ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ ਨੇ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਗਵਾਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਅਣਡਿੱਠ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਪਟਵਾਰੀ ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਨਜ਼ਰਅੰਦਾਜ਼ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ, ਜੋ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਹੱਕ ਵਿੱਚ ਸੀ। ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਲੰਮੇ ਸਮੇਂ ਤੋਂ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ’ਤੇ ਕਾਬਜ਼ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਦਖ਼ਲ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਕੋਈ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਵੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ।

ਉੱਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਰਾਜਵਿੰਦਰ ਕੌਰ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਕੋਲ ਕੋਈ ਵੈਧ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ ਗ਼ੈਰ-ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀ ਹੈ। ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਉਪ-ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ ਦਾ ਹੁਕਮ ਸਹੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਅਪੀਲ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾਣ ਯੋਗ ਹੈ।

ਮੈਂ ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਦਲੀਲਾਂ ਸੁਣੀਆਂ ਅਤੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦਾ ਧਿਆਨ ਨਾਲ ਅਧਿਐਨ ਕੀਤਾ। ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਤੋਂ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਦੇ ਨਾਂ ਦਾ ਇੰਤਕਾਲ ਮਿਤੀ ੦੫ ਅਪ੍ਰੈਲ ੧੯੮੮ ਨੂੰ ਦਰਜ ਹੋਇਆ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਧਿਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੋਈ ਇਤਰਾਜ਼ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ।

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਗਵਾਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਬਿਆਨਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਲੰਮੇ ਸਮੇਂ ਤੋਂ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ’ਤੇ ਕਾਬਜ਼ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਫ਼ਸਲ ਬੀਜਦਾ ਆ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ। ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਗਵਾਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਜਿਰ੍ਹਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਵੀ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੱਡਾ ਵਿਰੋਧਾਭਾਸ ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਇਆ।

ਉੱਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੨ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਅਜਿਹਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਸਿੱਧੇ ਤੌਰ ’ਤੇ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਕਬਜ਼ੇ ਨੂੰ ਚੁਣੌਤੀ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹੋਵੇ। ਉਪ-ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਹੁਕਮ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਸ ਤੱਥ ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਨਜ਼ਰਅੰਦਾਜ਼ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਅਪੀਲ ਮਨਜ਼ੂਰ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਉਪ-ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ, ਸੰਗਰੂਰ ਦਾ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੨ ਜੁਲਾਈ ੨੦੨੩ ਦਾ ਹੁਕਮ ਰੱਦ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ। ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਮਨਜ਼ੂਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਹੱਕ ਵਿੱਚ ਇੰਤਕਾਲ ਨੰਬਰ ੪੫੭ ਬਹਾਲ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਹਰ ਧਿਰ ਆਪਣਾ ਆਪਣਾ ਖਰਚਾ ਆਪ ਝੱਲੇਗੀ।

ਹਸਤਾਖਰ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਸੁਣਾਏ ਗਏ

ਮਿਤੀ ੧੭ ਮਾਰਚ ੨੦੨੪

ਸਕੱਤਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਵਿਨੋਦ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਗੁਪਤਾ, ਪੀ.ਸੀ.ਐਸ.

ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ, ਮਾਲ ਵਿਭਾਗ

ਮੋਹਰ ਸਕੱਤਰ

ਸਾਰਥਕ ਵਾਕ ਬਣਾਓ ਅਤੇ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦਾ ਅਭਿਆਸ ਕਰੋ।

ਸੁਖ ਹੋਣਾ, ਸੁਖੀ ਹੋਣਾ, ਸੁਖੀ ਕਰਨਾ, ਸੁਖੀ ਵਸਣਾ, ਸੁਖ ਦੇਣਾ, ਸੁਖ ਲੈਣਾ, ਸੁਖ ਮਾਣਨਾ, ਸੁਖ ਭੋਗਣਾ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਣਾ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣਾ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਈ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲਾ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੀ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੇ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੀਆਂ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲਿਆਂ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੀਆਂ, ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲਿਆਂ।

ਸੁਖ ਹੋਣਾ — ਸੁਖੀ ਹੋਣਾ

ਸੁਖੀ ਕਰਨਾ — ਸੁਖੀ ਵਸਣਾ

ਸੁਖ ਦੇਣਾ — ਸੁਖ ਲੈਣਾ

ਸੁਖ ਮਾਣਨਾ — ਸੁਖ ਭੋਗਣਾ

ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਣਾ — ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣਾ

ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਈ — ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲਾ

ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੀ — ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੇ

ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੀਆਂ — ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲਿਆਂ

ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੀਆਂ — ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲਿਆਂ

ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲਿਆਂ — ਸੁਖ ਵੰਡਾਉਣ ਵਾਲੀ



Before the Government of His Highness
the Maharajah of Mysore.

Appeal No of

Appellant vs Respondent

Kadirappah, late
Shanbog of Betamangla,
Bowringpet Taluk,
Kolar District

Decision

This is an appeal against an order passed by the Kolar Deputy Commissioner, on the 2nd May 1889, dismissing appellant from the office of Shanbog of Betamangala, and directing the registry of the office in the name of his minor adopted son — Venkataramanaya, and the performance of the duties by a Gumastha till the minor attains majority.

The appellant was tried departmentally

on

on several charges of embezzlement. In two cases it was found that the payments made to him by ryots were credited in the Khirdi, not on the dates on which the receipts were granted, but several days afterwards. In another case the appellant failed to produce his Khirdi and to prove that a sum of Rs. 8.12.6 alleged to have been paid by Talwar Hanuma, was duly credited in the accounts. In the fourth case it was clearly proved, that a sum of Rs. 61.8.0, being the amount of Contribution for the repair of a certain Tank, which was recovered in October 1875, was not remitted to the treasury till a complaint was preferred in the matter in October 1887 and that the appellant was responsible for the embezzlement and non-remittance of this amount for nearly twelve years. This last charge was sufficiently aggravated

to

to justify the C.O. dismissal of the appellant. The Government therefore decline to interfere with the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner, and direct the dismissal of this appeal.

By Order

(Sgnd) C. Madrail.

Under Secretary

"True Copy"

C. Mayhew

Under Secretary.

Compd. 9/9.

Copy applied by Kadarappali - 13/9/89

Copy ready for delivery. 14/9/89

Do. delivered

No. of words. 502

Copying fees. " 11-0

ಕೆಳಗಿನವರಿಷ್ಟೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಇಂತಿ ಆಜ್ಞಾಕಾರಕ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಿಗಳು

ಕೆಳಗಿನವರಿಷ್ಟೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಇಂತಿ ಆಜ್ಞಾಕಾರಕ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಿಗಳು

ಪ್ರತಿ ಸಲಾಂ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆಯೆಂದರೆ

ಸಲಾಂ — ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಧೇಯರಾದ ನಾವು ಸನ್ 1885ನೆ
ಯ ಮೇ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಅಳತೆಯ ಕಾಗದಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿ
ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆದರೆ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಇನ್ನೂ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ
ಕೊಡದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಮತ್ತೆ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಅಳತೆಯ ಕಾಗದಗಳನ್ನು
ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ
ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ
ವೆಂದು ಮಾತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು
ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮಾತು
ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿ
ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮಾತು ಕೊಡು
ತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳು
ತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮಾತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.
ಆದಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.
ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮಾತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದ
ಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ
ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮಾತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ
ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ
ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮಾತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ
ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿ
ಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮಾತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ
ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡು
ವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮಾತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ
ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ
ವೆಂದು ಮಾತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಈ ಸಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡ
ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು

ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯ — ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ — ಕಾಲಿಲ್ಲದ ಮಾಂಸಾಹಾರಿ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯೊಂದು ಹೇಗೆ ಆಹಾರವನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ತಿನ್ನುತ್ತದೆ?

ಉತ್ತರ — ಕಾಲಿಲ್ಲದ ಮಾಂಸಾಹಾರಿ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯೊಂದು ಆಹಾರವನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾಯೋಗಿಕವಾಗಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿ ನೋಡಲು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಪ್ರಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಹಾವನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು. ಪ್ರಯೋಗವನ್ನು 13.8.85 ರಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 2 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಹಾವನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಪಾತ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಕಿ ಅದರ ಬಾಯಿಯ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಒಂದು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಇಡಲಾಯಿತು. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಫಲಿತಾಂಶ — ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಗಮನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕಂಡೊಡನೆ ಅದರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಹರಿದು ಬಂದಿತು. ಇಲಿಯು ಹಾವಿನಿಂದ ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿತು. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಅದರ ಮೈಯನ್ನು ಸುತ್ತಿಕೊಂಡಿತು. ಇಲಿಯು ಸತ್ತ ನಂತರ ಹಾವು ಅದನ್ನು ಬಾಯಿಯಿಂದ ಕಚ್ಚಿ ಹಿಡಿದು ಅದರ ತಲೆಯ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ನುಂಗಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿತು. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ನುಂಗಿ ಮುಗಿಸಲು ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ಗಂಟೆ ಸಮಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿತು.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ — ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಹಂತಗಳಿವೆ?

ಉತ್ತರ — ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಹಂತಗಳಿವೆ.

1. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕಂಡೊಡನೆ ಅದರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಹರಿದು ಬರುವುದು.
2. ಇಲಿಯು ಹಾವಿನಿಂದ ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸುವುದು.
3. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಅದರ ಮೈಯನ್ನು ಸುತ್ತಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.
4. ಇಲಿಯು ಸತ್ತ ನಂತರ ಹಾವು ಅದನ್ನು ಬಾಯಿಯಿಂದ ಕಚ್ಚಿ ಹಿಡಿದು ಅದರ ತಲೆಯ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ನುಂಗಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವುದು.
5. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ನುಂಗಿ ಮುಗಿಸಲು ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ಗಂಟೆ ಸಮಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ — ಕಾಲಿಲ್ಲದ ಮಾಂಸಾಹಾರಿ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯೊಂದು ಹೇಗೆ ಆಹಾರವನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ತಿನ್ನುತ್ತದೆ?

ಉತ್ತರ — ಕಾಲಿಲ್ಲದ ಮಾಂಸಾಹಾರಿ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯೊಂದು ಆಹಾರವನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾಯೋಗಿಕವಾಗಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿ ನೋಡಲು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಪ್ರಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಹಾವನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು. ಪ್ರಯೋಗವನ್ನು 13.8.85 ರಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 2 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಹಾವನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಪಾತ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಕಿ ಅದರ ಬಾಯಿಯ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಒಂದು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಇಡಲಾಯಿತು. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಫಲಿತಾಂಶ — ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಗಮನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕಂಡೊಡನೆ ಅದರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಹರಿದು ಬಂದಿತು. ಇಲಿಯು ಹಾವಿನಿಂದ ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿತು. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಅದರ ಮೈಯನ್ನು ಸುತ್ತಿಕೊಂಡಿತು. ಇಲಿಯು ಸತ್ತ ನಂತರ ಹಾವು ಅದನ್ನು ಬಾಯಿಯಿಂದ ಕಚ್ಚಿ ಹಿಡಿದು ಅದರ ತಲೆಯ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ನುಂಗಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿತು. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ನುಂಗಿ ಮುಗಿಸಲು ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ಗಂಟೆ ಸಮಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿತು.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ — ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಹಂತಗಳಿವೆ?

ಉತ್ತರ — ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ತಿನ್ನುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಹಂತಗಳಿವೆ.

1. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕಂಡೊಡನೆ ಅದರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಹರಿದು ಬರುವುದು.
2. ಇಲಿಯು ಹಾವಿನಿಂದ ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸುವುದು.
3. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಅದರ ಮೈಯನ್ನು ಸುತ್ತಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.
4. ಇಲಿಯು ಸತ್ತ ನಂತರ ಹಾವು ಅದನ್ನು ಬಾಯಿಯಿಂದ ಕಚ್ಚಿ ಹಿಡಿದು ಅದರ ತಲೆಯ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ನುಂಗಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವುದು.
5. ಹಾವು ಇಲಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ನುಂಗಿ ಮುಗಿಸಲು ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ಗಂಟೆ ಸಮಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಲಿತಾ

ವಿಷಯ — ಶ್ರೀ ಸೋಮಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರಿಂದ ಹೆಸರು
ಠರಾವು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ — ನಿಮ್ಮ ದಿನಾಂಕ 15.8.85 ರ ಪತ್ರ ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಜೊತೆಗಿನ
ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಓದಿ
ಅರ್ಥೈಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ 1884 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಕಡತವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿ
ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ದಿನಾಂಕ 19.8.85 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ
ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ
ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ
ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದು
ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ವಿಷಯ — ಶ್ರೀ ಸೋಮಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರಿಂದ ಹೆಸರು
ಠರಾವು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ — ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ದಿನಾಂಕ 19.8.85

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ
ದಿನಾಂಕ 19.8.85 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.
ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮ
ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸ್ಥಳ —

ದಿನಾಂಕ 13-6-86 ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ
ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ

ತಾರೀಖು 14.5.86 ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ — 98

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ —

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ — ನಿಮ್ಮ ಹೆಸರು ವಿಳಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ವಯಸ್ಸು ಹೇಳಿ

ಉತ್ತರ — ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಮಹಾದೇವಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ವಯಸ್ಸು 1880 ಇದೆ

ನಾನು ಕೃಷಿಕನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಮನೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಜಮೀನು

ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 29 ಎಕರೆ. ವಾದಿ ಶಿವಪ್ಪನು ನನ್ನ ಅಣ್ಣ.

ನಮ್ಮ ಅಪ್ಪನ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನಾವು ಮೂವರು ಸಮಾನವಾಗಿ

ಹಂಚಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ —

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ — ವಾದಿಯು ತನ್ನ ಪಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ

ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ

ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನು?

ಉತ್ತರ — ಇದು ಸುಳ್ಳು. ನಾನು ಅವನ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹ

ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವನೇ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾನೆ.

ಅವನ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆದ ಬೆಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನಗೆ ಪಾಲು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅವನೇ ಎಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾನೆ —

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ — ಈ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ

ತಾರೀಖು 14.5.86 ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ — 98

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ —

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ — ನಿಮ್ಮ ಹೆಸರು ವಿಳಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ವಯಸ್ಸು ಹೇಳಿ

ಉತ್ತರ — ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ವಯಸ್ಸು 1885 ಇದೆ

ನಾನು ಕೃಷಿಕನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಮನೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಜಮೀನು

ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 34 ಎಕರೆ ಇದೆ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಮಹಾದೇವ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಿಎಂಎಸ್/ಸಿಆರ್/ಸಿಆರ್ಎಂ/೨೦೨೨-೨೩/೧೪೭೨

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೪.೦೬.೨೦೨೨

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ,

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿದೇವಿ ಕೋಂ ಗಂಗಾಧರಪ್ಪ,
ಮನೆ ನಂ. ೨೭, ೪ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್,
ಬಸವೇಶ್ವರ ನಗರ,
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು – ೫೬೦೦೨೧

ವಿಷಯ: ಬಾಕಿ ಮೊತ್ತ ಪಾವತಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ನೀವು ಕಳೆದ ೨೦೨೧ರ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಿಂದ ಈವರೆಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಸಿಕ ಕಂತನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಟ್ಟು ಬಾಕಿ ಮೊತ್ತ ₹ ೪೭,೨೦೦/- ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಜೂನ್ ೨೫ರೊಳಗೆ ಪಾವತಿಸಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ. ಪಾವತಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು,

ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ
ಸಹಾಯಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥಾಪಕರು
ಸಿಟಿ ಮೈಕ್ರೋ ಫೈನಾನ್ಸ್ ಲಿಮಿಟೆಡ್
ಶಾಖೆ: ಬಸವೇಶ್ವರ ನಗರ
ದೂರವಾಣಿ: ೦೮೦-೨೩೪೫೬೭೮೯

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಿಎಂಎಸ್/ಸಿಆರ್/ಸಿಆರ್ಎಂ/೨೦೨೨-೨೩/೧೪೭೨

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೪.೦೬.೨೦೨೨

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ,

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿದೇವಿ ಕೋಂ ಗಂಗಾಧರಪ್ಪ,
ಮನೆ ನಂ. ೨೭, ೪ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್,
ಬಸವೇಶ್ವರ ನಗರ,
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು – ೫೬೦೦೨೧

ವಿಷಯ: ಬಾಕಿ ಮೊತ್ತ ಪಾವತಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ನೀವು ಕಳೆದ ೨೦೨೧ರ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಿಂದ ಈವರೆಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಸಿಕ ಕಂತನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಟ್ಟು ಬಾಕಿ ಮೊತ್ತ ₹ ೪೭,೨೦೦/- ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಜೂನ್ ೨೫ರೊಳಗೆ ಪಾವತಿಸಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ. ಪಾವತಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು,

ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ
ಸಹಾಯಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥಾಪಕರು
ಸಿಟಿ ಮೈಕ್ರೋ ಫೈನಾನ್ಸ್ ಲಿಮಿಟೆಡ್
ಶಾಖೆ: ಬಸವೇಶ್ವರ ನಗರ
ದೂರವಾಣಿ: ೦೮೦-೨೩೪೫೬೭೮೯

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಮಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಎಸಿ/ಎಸ್‌ಎಸ್‌ಟಿ/ಪಿಆರ್/2021-22 ದಿನಾಂಕ: 15.5.98

ಸೇವೆಗೆ,
ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪದ್ಮನಾಭ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ
ಮಾಲೀಕರು, ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಸ್ಟೋರ್ಸ್
ಕೊಡಿಯಾಲ್‌ಬೈಲ್
ಮಂಗಳೂರು

ವಿಷಯ: ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಪಂಗಡಗಳ ದೌರ್ಜನ್ಯ ತಡೆ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 1989 ರ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ದಾಖಲು.

ಮಹಾಶಯರೇ,

ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗದ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಪಂಗಡಗಳ ದೌರ್ಜನ್ಯ ತಡೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಬಾಲಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಹುಡುಗನಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಿದ ಕಾರಣ ಅವನ ತಂದೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಪರವ ಅವರು ನೀಡಿದ ದೂರಿನ ಮೇರೆಗೆ, ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 34/98 ಅನ್ನು ದಾಖಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ 29.5.98 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10.30 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನೀವು ಅಥವಾ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಯೊಬ್ಬರು ಸದರಿ ದಿನಾಂಕದಂದು ಹಾಜರಿರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ವಿಫಲರಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಮಂಗಳೂರು

ಸೇವೆಗೆ,
ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪದ್ಮನಾಭ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ
ಮಾಲೀಕರು, ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಸ್ಟೋರ್ಸ್
ಕೊಡಿಯಾಲ್‌ಬೈಲ್
ಮಂಗಳೂರು

ವಿಷಯ: ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಪಂಗಡಗಳ ದೌರ್ಜನ್ಯ ತಡೆ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 1989 ರ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ದಾಖಲು.

ಮಹಾಶಯರೇ,

ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗದ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಪಂಗಡಗಳ ದೌರ್ಜನ್ಯ ತಡೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಬಾಲಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಹುಡುಗನಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಿದ ಕಾರಣ ಅವನ ತಂದೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಪರವ ಅವರು ನೀಡಿದ ದೂರಿನ ಮೇರೆಗೆ, ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 34/98 ಅನ್ನು ದಾಖಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ 29.5.98 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10.30 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನೀವು ಅಥವಾ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಯೊಬ್ಬರು ಸದರಿ ದಿನಾಂಕದಂದು ಹಾಜರಿರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ವಿಫಲರಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಮಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಎಸಿ/ಎಸ್‌ಎಸ್‌ಟಿ/ಪಿಆರ್/2021-22 ದಿನಾಂಕ: 15.5.98

ಸೇವೆಗೆ,
ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪದ್ಮನಾಭ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ
ಮಾಲೀಕರು, ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಸ್ಟೋರ್ಸ್
ಕೊಡಿಯಾಲ್‌ಬೈಲ್
ಮಂಗಳೂರು

ವಿಷಯ: ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಪಂಗಡಗಳ ದೌರ್ಜನ್ಯ ತಡೆ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 1989 ರ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ದಾಖಲು.

ಮಹಾಶಯರೇ,

ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗದ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಪಂಗಡಗಳ ದೌರ್ಜನ್ಯ ತಡೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಬಾಲಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಹುಡುಗನಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಿದ ಕಾರಣ ಅವನ ತಂದೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಪರವ ಅವರು ನೀಡಿದ ದೂರಿನ ಮೇರೆಗೆ, ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 34/98 ಅನ್ನು ದಾಖಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ 29.5.98 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10.30 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನೀವು ಅಥವಾ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಯೊಬ್ಬರು ಸದರಿ ದಿನಾಂಕದಂದು ಹಾಜರಿರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ವಿಫಲರಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಮಂಗಳೂರು

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರು ಸಾಲ ಕೊಡಲು ನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿದರು.

ಹಾಲಿನವನು ಹಾಲು ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಬಟ್ಟೆಯವನು ಬಟ್ಟೆ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂಗಡಿಯವನು ಸಾಮಾನು ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಯವರು ಔಷಧಿ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಪೋಲೀಸರು ಕಾವಲು ಕಾಯಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಸಹಕರಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಸಹಕರಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕರ್ಫ್ಯೂ ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು. 14-10-89

ಕರ್ಫ್ಯೂ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಿತು. 30-10-89

ಕರ್ಫ್ಯೂ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. 1-11-89

[illegible]

[illegible]

COURT FEE

REVENUE

Kadarappa
13-9-89

ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು

ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಮಂಗಳವಾರ ಸಂಜೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ
ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಆರ್ ಪಿ ಯವರು ಬಂದು ಹೋದ ವಿಷಯ
ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಆರ್ ಪಿ ಯವರು ಬಂದಾಗ ನಾನು
ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೆಂದು
ಕೆಲವು ಜನ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅವರು ಬಂದಾಗ
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಏನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಇತ್ತು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು
ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತರು ಹೇಳಿದರು.
ಅವರು ಬಂದಾಗ ನಾನು ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ
ಎಂಬುದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು
ಬಂದಾಗ ನಾನು ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದು
ತಿಳಿದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರು ಬರುತ್ತ

[illegible]

27/11/88

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 28-10-89

[illegible] 30-10-89

[illegible] 1-11-89

19-10-87

13-8-87

14-10-89

30-10-89

6-11-89

Kadaraffia
13-9-49

VII

ದುರಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿರುವ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಥವಾ ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರತುಪಡಿಸಿ ಉಳಿದ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

1. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬಳಸುವ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳು
2. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳು
3. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉದ್ದಿಮೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳು
4. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳು
5. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬಳಸುವ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳು
6. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬಳಸುವ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳು

ಮಂದಿ ನಾಲಿಕೆ ಲಿದಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಲಿಕೆಗಳು
ಪಳಂದು ಪಡಿಕೆ ನೆಲಕಂ ಕೈಲಿದ್ದು ನೆಲಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಲು
(ಪಾಲಿ) ಕಳಿಕೊಂಡು ಲುಪ್ತಿ ಕಿಗಲಿಕಾಂಬ ಪ್ರಾಣಿ
ನಕ್ಕಿ ಲುಪ್ತಿ ಲುಪರಪ್ಪಿ ಲಿಕೆ ಲು ಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿದು
ಪು ನಂದಿದದೆಕೊಂಂಳ್ಳ ಬಾಂಧ್ಯಕೆಲುಲ್ಲಾ ನೆ.ಪಿಕೆ
ಪ್ಪ ನೆಕಳಾಂಂಕ ಲುಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೆ ಗಳಪು ಕೆಂಬ್ಬ ನಕ್ಕಿ ಲಿ
ಲಿಲು ನಾಲಿ ನೈಂ ಪಿದಲಿಲಿ ಕಿರಕ್ಕಿ ಲಿ ದೈನಕ
ನ್ಕೆ ಬ್ಬಪ್ಪಿಂದು ಲು ಪ್ಪಿ ಲುಂಂಳ್ಳ ನಾಲಿಲುಂಕೆ ಗಳಕ್ಕಿ
ನೆಲ-ಕಾಕ್ಕಿ ಲಿದೈನಕಕ್ಕೆ ಗಂಂದ ಲು ಪ್ಪಿ ಲುಂ
ನು ಳ್ಳಾಂ ಗಳದ ಲು ಳ್ಳ ಲಂಕೆ ಕ್ಲಿಂ ಲಿಕೆ
ಲಿಪ್ಪಿಂ ನೆ. ಲಿಕೆ ಲು ಳ್ಳ ಲಿ ಬ್ಬಿ ಗ್ಲಿಸಿಕಾಂ ನೆ ನೆಕಳಾಲು
ಪುಂದು ಗಳದ ಲು ಳ್ಳ ಲಂ ನೆ.ಲಿ ನೈಂ ಪಿದಿ
ಲು ಪಳಿಲಿಂಲಿ ಲಿಲು ಪುಂಕೆ ಲಿಕ್ಕು ದು. ನಾಲಿಬ್ಬಿಂ
ಗಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಲಿಕೆ ನೆ.ನೆ. ಗದಗಳ್ಳಿಕೆಲು ಪು ಲ್ಲಿಲಿಂ
ಪು ನ್ಕೆಲಿ ಲಿಂದಲಿಲಿಕೆ ಲಿದನ್ಕೆ ಲಿ ಪು ಂಲಿದ
ಗಳದು. ನೆನಕೆ ಕ್ಲಿಂ ದು ನದ ನ್ಕೆ ಗಾಲು
ನದನ್ಕೆ ಗಳು ನಲ್ಲಾಲಾ ಗಂಂದಲಿ ಕ್ಲಿಂ ಲಿಂಲಿಂ
ನೆಂ ಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಕ್ಕಿ ಲು ಪ್ಪಿ ನೆನಕ ಲಿಂ ನ್ಕೆಲಿ ಪು ಂಲಿನ
ಲಿಪ್ಪಿಲಿ ಗಳಿಲಿ ಲಿಲಿಕ್ಕಿ ನೆ. ಲಿಕ್ಕಿ ಲು ಪ್ಪಿ ನೆ. ನಾಲಿ
ನೆಲಿಂಲಿ ಲು ಪ್ಪಿ ನೆನಕ ನ್ಕೆ ಲಿಂಬ್ಬಿಪ್ಪಿ ಲಿಂಲಿ
ನಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಕ್ಕಿ ನ್ಕೆ ನಲಿ ಲು ಪ್ಪಿ ನೆಂ ಪ್ಪಿ ಲು ಳ್ಳಿಕೆ

ಶರಣ ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಸಭೆ

ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆಯನ್ನು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಲತಾಕುಮಾರಿ ಅವರು ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ವರಿಷ್ಠಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಬಿ. ರಿಷ್ಯಂತ್, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಆರ್. ರವಿ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಉಪಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಬಿರಾದಾರ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಯೋಜನಾ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಯೋಜನಾ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ

IX

11—10—87

28—01—11

94

X

Memorandum

Subject

Dated 18

Please see me

By order

Secretary

Under Secretary

162.

OFFICE OF T...
26 ... 1869
DEWAN OF MYSORE

92

By Order

Asst Under Secy

ਸਰਦਾਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਸਰਦਾਰ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੀ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਸਰਦਾਰ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੀ ਸਾਹਿਬ

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਗਤ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਜੋ ਕੋਈ ਸੱਜਣ ਪਿਆਰਾ ਇਸ ਅਸਥਾਨ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਇੱਛਾ ਰੱਖਦਾ ਹੋਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਉਹ ਸੰਗਤ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਸਲਾਹ ਨਾਲ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਜੀ ਅਤੇ

ਸਾਲ ਵਿਚ ਜਿਸ ਦਿਨ ਵਿਚ ਜਿਸ ਵੇਲੇ ਵਿਚ ਜਿਸ ਥਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਜਿਸ ਤਰਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਜਿਸ ਤਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਕੰਮ

(General Serial No. 30.)

1889-90

From

The Chief Secretary to the Durbar of Mysore.

(28)

To The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

No. A.B. 63-88-16

Dated 15th February 1890

Despatched 25-

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 9134

No. 400

This office Dis. No. 4830 dated 10th September last forwarding copy of decision upholding the dismissal of Kadarappah late Shanbogue of Betmangala Bowringpet Taluk.

Has the honor to request that the Revenue Calendar (setting forth the charges against Kadarappa and the result of the enquiry which was returned with the above, may be re-transmitted with proceedings at an early date.

By order,
Minchakar
for Chief Secretary

Draft

(General Serial No. 3[illegible])

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 24 MAR 90 1887 A. 1937

22

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Salem District

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Lr. 63-86-15

Dated 19th March 1890
Despatched 22nd
Received

Enclosures No. one file

General No. 2457 Returned with this Office No. 5792 dated 13/8/91
No. 161 of 1889 90 595

This docket No. 9134/400 of the 15th Ultimo regarding Kadirappa, late Shanbhog of Belmangala, Bowringpet Taluk.

No called for in the above, has the honor to return the Revenue Calendar with the Sowcar papers therein referred to.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your obedient servant
for Deputy Commissioner

J. Rice

81 11-6-90
82 17-4-90

(General Serial No. 51).

189

OFFICE OF THE
3161
JUL. 91
P. 17
COMMR MYSORE

From The Chief Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To: The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 3rd July 1891.
Despatched 5
Received

Enclosures: No.

General No. 1047
No. 249

Kd. 63-88-16

Ref. to the Dewan No. 1887 dated 6/8/91
Ref. to the Dewan No. 5248 dated 13/8/91
Ref. to the Dewan No. 5799 dated 13/8/91
P. 95

This office Docket No. 3457/686 (10087) of the 19th March 1890 submitting the Proceedings connected with Kadirappa late Shanbhogue of Betmangala, Bowringpet Taluq.

Has the honor to request that the Proceedings submitted with the above may kindly be returned for reference.

Deputy Commr
Kolar Dt

Return of the above has been disposed of
6/7/91

Read 7/7

To Current Records
Please put up Hyderabad Records
Read
8/7/91

3457 Kadirappa late Shanbhogue Betmangala
Bowringpet
Proceedings of Assistant Commissioner
Kolar dated 19th March 1890
Received 8/7/91
Returned 10-7-91

The entire file of Papers required by Government this day, Petn. dated with Kolar Dy. Commr's No. 3457 dated 19-3-1890 and received 8-7-91 returned 10-7-91

Correspondence with the
case therein referred to are
herewith submitted.

PK

6/4/91

(General Serial No. 31)

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY
AUG. 91
P. 25
DEWAN OF MYSORE

4487

189 .

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

31

L.R. 63-88-17

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 6th L.R. 63-88-17
Despatched 7th August 1891.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1387
No. 328 1891-92

To the same No. 5799 dated 13/8/1891

Has the honor to request the early retransmission for reference of the Proceedings connected with Kadirappa bin Shanbhogue of Betamangala Bowringpet Taluq applied for in this office Docket No. 1047/249 dated the 3rd Instno.

R. Rameshan
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

47

Returned with the same

(General Serial No. 30.)

188

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

By Comm^r,
~~Secretary to the Government of Mysore Public Works Department.~~
Kolar Dt.

L.A. 63-88-18

Dated 13th
Despatched 14th August/91.
Received

Enclosures No. 1 V. file.
General No. 5799. Rent by parcel
P. No. 95

His No. 1047/249 3rd July last.

As requested in the above, I have the honor to return herewith the Progs connected with Kadirappa, late Shanbogue of Betmangala, Bowringpet Taluk, and to request that the same may be re-submitted at an early date.

By order

Under Secy.

Draft
WD.
AR

From the name No. 1574/89 dy 31/1879
No. 6538 dy 18/9/91
P. 107

102

(General Serial No. 30).

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
5253
1 - SEP. 91
P. 29 233

189

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated 31st August 1891
Despatched 31 " "
Received 2.9.91. D.O. 63-88-19

Vide No. 6536 dt 8/9/91 to D.C. Kolar
P. 297

Enclosures No.

General No. 1574
No. 369

Return
of
1891
not be returned by post
if there is any.

I have the honor to forward herewith docket No 5799/95 dated the 13th instant forwarding certain proceedings connected with Khadirappa late Shanbogue of Kelmanga Kaninayakanahalli Talook

I have the honor to request that the proceedings submitted with this office docket No 3159/1278/207 dated the 23rd July 1889 may also be kindly returned for transmitted for

1 3 Reference.

D Jenkins
Deputy Commissioner

(General Serial No. 30).

189/.

From

(84)

To The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

Dated 8 Sept/91

Despatched — Ds —

Received 10.63-88 20

Enclosures No.

General No. 6536 R

P. No. [redacted]

From the Govt of Mysore No 1649 dated 4/9/91 390

Sir

I have the honor to request that the Provisions connected with leading the Cole Thambhang of Betmangala Honnenpet Talukh, returned with [redacted] office No. 6799/P95 of 18 August 1891 may be retransmitted by return post.

I am

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Asst

Under Secretary

(General Serial No. 31).

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
5365
10 SEP. 91
P. 32

189/.

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

To

(35)

C.R. 63-88-21

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 9th September

Despatched 9th

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file sent separately

General No. 1649

No. 390

His docket No 6536/107 dated the 8th Instant.

As called for in the above, has the honour to return herewith the proceedings connected with Kadiranahalli Lake Chandrapur of Betmangala Bommasandra Galook received with his docket No 5799/95 of the 13th ultimo.

Deputy Commissioner

Petition

C.S.

10 A 91

Submitted to the Council.

14/9/91 AR

Ref. Instructed

The Copies may be prepared

BR
3/Aug/92

1662 No pet 7

(36)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ Telegram No. 985

dated 26 June/92 received at the Dewan's Office from Shanbogue Kadariappa of Betmangala, praying that he may be released from the Jail on bail, Rpl 63-88-22

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Inspector General of Police for disposal.

By Order,

VC Draft (Dewan's order)

2/3 July

BANGALORE, 1892.

Chief Secretary

107

(General Serial No. 304)

OFFICE OF THE
15-16.
1892 AUG. 92
Pet. 74
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

(37)

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 10th August 1892

Despatched 10th

Received 20-63-88-23

Enclosures No.

General No. 443
No. 109

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Requesting Early
retransmission of
the Records for re-
reference in a Criminal
Case pending against
Kadirappa Shanbogue

Vide Petn Br

Petn Br

Records to be returned
today. Mr
11/8/92

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

No 2073 dated 11/8/92
Pets 117-92

No 443
109

108

Deputy Commrs Office
Kolar District
Kolar 10th August 1892.

37

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request the early transmission of the records submitted with this Office docket No 1649 (5565) dated the 9th September 1891 the same (390) being urgently required for reference in a criminal case pending against Kadirappa late Shanbogue of Betamangala, Bowringpet Taluk, before the Special Magistrate Kolar Gold Fields by whom it will be taken up tomorrow.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Boposaay
for Deputy Commissioner

Tran

Very Urgent 109

(General Serial No. 30)

OFFICE OF THE
13-5-7.
1892 12 AUG. 92
Pet 175
DEPUTY OF MYSORE

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar Bangalore

To The Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore

Dated 11th August 1892

Despatched 11th

Received 12-8-92

Enclosures No.

General No. 532

No. 116

(38)

This office letter No 1576 / 44 3 / 109 of yesterday's date requesting the retransmission of certain records connected with Kadirapalli lake Shanbogue's Petitions for reference in a Criminal case pending against him in this Court of the Special Magistrate Kolar Gold Fields. —

In Continuation of the above, has the

Petn. Branch

Mark

12/8/92

Reply to this D.O. Letter from the Taluk Book of this Office the Vernacular Proc. in question appear to have been returned to him with this Office No: 4830 / 73 dated 16th September 89 on the 18th idem. P.O. 12/8/92.

Send this to Messrs Ramakrishnaiah & Swaminarayana Iyengar & ask if the papers are here. 12/8/92

This office Lr No 1278 / 207 dated 28th July 1892

Do Letter No 1599 / 261 dated 1st September 1892

Do Lr No 1599 / 266 dated 5th September 1892

110

the honor to solicit that the entire records submitted with the marginally noted communications may kindly be caused to be returned as early as possible

D. Thurston
Deputy Commissioner

111

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

39

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Deputy Commr Kolar Distt

Dated 11 Aug. 63-88-25

Despatched 11th August /92

Received

Enclosures No. one file of 5 v. papers

General No. 2073

Ref. F. No. 117-92

His letter No. 443/109 of 10th August 1892

As requested in the above, has the honor to transmit the records connected with the case of Kadiraffa, late Shanbogue of Bahuangala, Bowringpatta Taluk, forwarded with his No. 1645/390 of 9th Septr/91 and request that the same may be returned when no longer required.

'By order'

Draft 11/8

for Chief Secretary

113

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysor

To

The Dy. Commr.
Kolar Distt.

Dated 13th L.R. 63-88-26

Despatched 13th Augt 92.

Received

Enclosures No. One file of papers

General No. 2180

Pol. Fr. No. 117-92

Stating that the papers connected with the case of Rama Rao, late Shanbogue of Betmangala, have already been returned.

References to be marked.

From the Dame No 942 & 1078/92
2064

L

The Dy Comr, Kolar Distt.

(40)

His R. 532/116 dy 11th Augt 92, requesting that the records connected with the case of Nadiraffa, late Shanbogue of Betmangala, Bowringpet Taluk, submitted with his Rc. 1278/207, 1578/261 & 1599/262 dy 28th July 4th & 5th Sept. 89 respectively, may be returned.

With reference to the above has the honor to state that from the Jaffail Book of this office the rec. progs in question appear to have been returned to the Dy Comr with this office Rc. 4830/173 dy 16th Sept. 89, on the 18th idem.

(2). The rec. progs read with his Rc. 2334/424 dy 29.11.89 in connection with the petn of Soobiah, son of Shanbogue Venkatramaniah of Betmangala, are herewith rets. for reference if necessary & retransmission as early as possible By Order

Draft.

N.

Chief Secy.

Urgent. 115

Pe. to Sr. 23/8/92

(General Serial No. 80.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
2038
1892 23 AUG. 92
110

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

(41)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated Camp Bowringpett 20th August 1892.

Despatched 22nd Do

Received Pol. 63-88-27

Enclosures No.

General No. 703

No. 143

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

His docket No. 2073/117-92 dated the 11th Instant transmitting certain records connected with the case of Kadireppah, late shanbhogue of Betmangala, Bowringpet Taluk.

Has the honor to request that the Revenue Calendar submitted with this office docket No. 3457/686 dated 19th March 1890 together with any other

---

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same No. 3149 P.F. 117-92 of 24/8/92

23/8/92

other papers thereto attached may kindly be caused to be returned

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

N.R.

117

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Deputy Commr
Kolar Dist

Dated No. 63-88-98

Despatched 29 August

Received

Enclosures No. I Calender

General No. 3149
Pet. F. No. 117-92

Returning the Revenue
Calendar submitted with his
No. 345/656 of 19-3-90.

References to be marked.

204

To

118

(42)

The Deputy Comm
Kolar Dist

His docket No. 703/143 of 22nd Augt/92.

As requested in the above has the honor to return herewith the Revenue Calendar submitted with his No. 345/686 dated 19th March/90.

"By order"

Draft

Mr
for Chief Jun Secretary

M

Petn for Cwtn 13/9/92

Gurumurtaya
Bring up with the
file yr
17/9/92

(General Serial No. 80.)

1892. 2942.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated Camp Srinivaspur
10th September 1892

Despatched 12

Received

Enclosures No. Received separate packet read 13/9

General No. 942

No. 204

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

His dockets Nos 2073 Pet. F. 117-92 and 2180 Pet. F. 117 dated 11th and 13th ultimo respectively.

Has the honor to return herewith the Vernacular records connected with the case of Kadirappa, late shanbhoque of Belamangala

---

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Gurumurtaya.
Examine & report
thereon
17/9/92

13/9/92

120

-gala, Bowringpett Taluk, received with the above.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

D Kinsley
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
35-34.
5 OCT. 92
Pet 173

1892.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To (44)

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 27th September 1892

Despatched 4th October

Received Lr. 63-88-30

Enclosures No. 1 book.

General No. 1066
No. 239

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

His docket No: 3149 / Pet. F. 117.92 dated the 29th ultimo.

I has the honor to resubmit herewith the Revenue Calendar received with the above.

H Cooper
for Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

K 3

۱۹-۸-۸۸	۱-۱۲-۸۴ دفعہ	۴۰---
	۷ دفعہ	۱۵---
	۷ دفعہ	۲۵---
	۱۹-۸-۸۸	۴۰---
		۶۸---
		۶۹
		۱۹-۸-۸۸

۱۹-۸-۸۸

۱۹-۸-۸۸

۱۷-۸-۸۸

98 - 12 - 22

13 - 5 - 86.

98 - 12 - 22

13 - 5 - 86

98 - 12 - 22

13 - 5 - 86

5	ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਬੀਮਾਰੀ ਦੀ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲਈ - 25-8-85	ਫਾਰਮ - ਨੰ 3 - ਰਾਖੀ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲਈ ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਦਾਖਲ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ 3-9-85 ਤੱਕ ਵਧਾਉਣ ਲਈ ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਦਾਖਲ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਦੀ ਛੁੱਟੀ

13-5-86.

22-12-86

13-5-86.

22-12-86

13-5-86.

22-12-86

14-5-86.

22-12-86

10 | 26-11-85

11

12 ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਦਿੱਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੱਟ ਸਿੱਖ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਾ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਤਹਿ ਤੇ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ 26-11-86

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਦਿੱਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੱਟ ਸਿੱਖ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਾ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਤਹਿ ਤੇ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਦੀ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਦਿੱਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੱਟ ਸਿੱਖ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਾ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਤਹਿ ਤੇ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਦੀ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਦਿੱਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੱਟ ਸਿੱਖ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਾ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਤਹਿ ਤੇ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਦੀ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਦਿੱਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੱਟ ਸਿੱਖ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਾ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਤਹਿ ਤੇ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਦੀ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਦਿੱਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੱਟ ਸਿੱਖ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਾ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਤਹਿ ਤੇ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਦੀ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਵਿਚ

1884-12-1 6-8-0
ਬਦਲ 7 7-13-4
1885 ਫਰਵਰੀ 12 10-0-0
1885 ਜਨਵਰੀ 9 7-8-0

13 ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਦਿੱਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੱਟ ਸਿੱਖ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਾ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਤਹਿ ਤੇ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ 19/8/86

X

14 ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਦਿੱਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੱਟ ਸਿੱਖ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਾ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਤਹਿ ਤੇ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ 5-10-86

15	ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಕಲ್ಲಿಕೇರಿ	ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಕಲ್ಲಿಕೇರಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಭಿನಂದನೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.	22-9-88
16	ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್.ಪಾಟೀಲ	ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್.ಪಾಟೀಲ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಭಿನಂದನೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.	29-9-88
17	ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಕಲ್ಲಿಕೇರಿ	1 ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಕಲ್ಲಿಕೇರಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಭಿನಂದನೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. 2 ಅವರು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ 3 ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್.ಪಾಟೀಲ ಅವರು ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ 4 ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್.ಪಾಟೀಲ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಭಿನಂದನೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.	17-8-88
18	ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಕಲ್ಲಿಕೇರಿ	ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಕಲ್ಲಿಕೇರಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಭಿನಂದನೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.	26-11-88

ಸಭೆಯು ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾಯಿತು.

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು

12/1/89

22/12/86

22-12-86

22-12-86

22-12-86

18 Jan 87

ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰਬਰ 22-12-88

ਮਿਤੀ

ਹਾਜ਼ਰ

ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰਬਰ 22-12-88

ਮਿਤੀ

ਹਾਜ਼ਰ

22 12 88

ਮਿਤੀ

ਹਾਜ਼ਰ

22/12/86

22/12/86

22/12/86

22/12/86

22/12/86

24 [illegible] 6-9-88 [illegible]

25 [illegible]
[illegible] 16-8-88
23-8-88

26 [illegible] 6-9-88 [illegible]

27. [illegible] 14-9-88 [illegible]

Urgent

Rev. o. Pet 254

Return at once
Mrk
21/11/92

(General Serial No. 80.)

1893

1892

5-231.
21 NOV. 92
Pet 254

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

(45)

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated Camp Bowringpett.
19th November 1892.

Despatched -do-

Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. Camp 6.
No.

~~In reply to~~ d/A. 63-88-31

SUBJECT.

Has the honor to request that the vernacular records connected with the case of Kadirappa, late Shanbog of Belamangala, Bowringpet Taluk, submitted with this office docket No. 942/204 dated the 10th September 1892 may kindly be returned

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same No. 6930 P.F. 117-92 d/21/11/92

21/11/92
Rec'd DOC nos.
Rec'd the Honble R
returns as
requested has
been received with
the above in
connection with
the case of Kadi-
rappa late
Shanbog of
Belamangala
Bowringpet

returned as the Chief Court have called for some of the papers for reference in Criminal Appeals Nos. 133 to 136 of 1892 preferred by the said Kadirespa.

Deputy Commissioner.

vsh.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.93.

From The Chief Secy to the Dewan of Mysore

To The Dy Commr Kolar

Dated 21st November 92

Despatched

Received 22.11.92

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 6930.

Ref. F. 117 - 92

His docket No Camp 6 dated 19th Nov 92.

From the Name No 2900 dt 18-3-93

Has the honor to return as requested the records received with his No. 942/204 dt 10th September 1892 in connection with the case of Kadirappa late Amildar of Betamangala

"By Order"

Asst Secy

Under Secy

(General Serial No. 30.)

126

OFFICE OF THE
10777.
1893 23 MAR 93
Pol 432

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

(42)

Dated 18th March 93

Despatched 22nd March 93

Received 22.3.93-88-33

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 2900

No. 687

Mis docket No 6930
Pol F 117-92

dated the 21st November
1892.-

Has the honor to re-
turn herewith the
records connected
with the case of
Kadirappa Lake Olambope
of Retnangal Bowringpet
Talook received with
the above.-

for Deputy Commissioner

Petitioner
Mr
23/3

Train

23/3/93

P.7. 117-92 with Mr. C.J.

Date Return 11/9/93

127

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3799
11 SEP. 93
P. 157

189 .

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar

48

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 7th Sept 93.

Despatched 9th

Received 11.63-88-34.

Enclosures No.

General No. 883

No. 222

I have the honour to request that the records connected with the case of Kadirappa late Shanbogue of Bowringpet Taluk, forwarded with this office docket No. 2900 dated 18th March 1892 may kindly be returned for reference in a criminal case pending against him in the Court of Deputy Commissioner

128 Draft for approval

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated

Despatched 18th / 19 September

Received L.A. 63-88-35

Enclosures No. 1 file of V. papers as per particulars for separate parcel

General No. 49444

Pet No. 462

Returning the records connected with the case of Kadiraiah late Shanbhog of Betmangala